

THE DISTRIBUTION OF NUMBER FIELDS WITH WREATH PRODUCTS AS GALOIS GROUPS

JÜRGEN KLÜNERS

ABSTRACT. Let G be a wreath product of the form $C_2 \wr H$, where C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2. Under mild conditions for H we determine the asymptotic behavior of the counting functions for number fields K/k with Galois group G and bounded discriminant. Those counting functions grow linearly with the norm of the discriminant and this result coincides with a conjecture of Malle. Up to a constant factor these groups have the same asymptotic behavior as the conjectured one for symmetric groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a number field and $K = k(\alpha)$ be a finite extension of degree n with minimal polynomial f of α . By abuse of notation we define $\text{Gal}(K/k) := \text{Gal}(f)$. This means that we associate a Galois group even to a non-normal extension. Therefore the Galois group of K/k is a transitive permutation group $G \leq S_n$.

Denote by $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}$ the norm function on ideals of k . Let

$$Z(k, G; x) := \# \{K/k : \text{Gal}(K/k) = G, \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}) \leq x\}$$

be the number of field extensions of k (inside a fixed algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$) of relative degree n with Galois group permutation isomorphic to G and norm of the discriminant $d_{K/k}$ bounded above by x . It is well known that the number of extensions of k with bounded norm of the discriminant is finite, hence $Z(k, G; x)$ is finite for all G, k and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of this function for $x \rightarrow \infty$. Gunter Malle [15, 16] has given a precise conjecture how this asymptotics should look like. Before we can state it we need to introduce some group theoretic definitions.

Definition 1. Let $1 \neq G \leq S_n$ be a transitive subgroup acting on $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- 1 For $g \in G$ we define the index $\text{ind}(g) := n -$ the number of orbits of g on Ω .
- 2 $\text{ind}(G) := \min\{\text{ind}(g) : 1 \neq g \in G\}$.
- 3 $a(G) := \text{ind}(G)^{-1}$.
- 4 Let C be a conjugacy class of G and $g \in C$. Then $\text{ind}(C) := \text{ind}(g)$.

The last definition is independent of the choice of g since all elements in a conjugacy class have the same cycle shape. The absolute Galois group of k acts naturally on the $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -characters of G , via their values. The orbits under this action are called k -conjugacy classes of G . Note that we get the ordinary conjugacy classes when k contains all N -th roots of unity for $N = |G|$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R29; Secondary 11R16, 11R32.

Definition 2. For a number field k and a transitive subgroup $1 \neq G \leq S_n$ we define:

$$b(k, G) := \#\{C : C \text{ } k\text{-conjugacy class of } G \text{ of minimal index } \text{ind}(G)\}.$$

Now we can state the conjecture of Malle [16], where we write $f(x) \sim g(x)$ for $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$.

Conjecture 1. (Malle) For all number fields k and all transitive permutation groups $1 \neq G \leq S_n$ there exists a constant $c(k, G) > 0$ such that

$$Z(k, G; x) \sim c(k, G)x^{a(G)} \log(x)^{b(k, G)-1},$$

where $a(G)$ and $b(k, G)$ are given as above.

We remark that at the time when the conjecture was stated it was known to hold for all abelian groups and the groups $S_3 \leq S_3$ and $D_4 \leq S_4$. Let us state some easy properties of the constants $a(G)$ and $b(k, G)$ which are already given in [15, 16]. It is easy to see that $a(G) \leq 1$ and equality occurs if and only if G contains a transposition. It is an easy exercise (see Lemma 5) that all transpositions are conjugated in a transitive permutation group. Therefore we obtain $b(k, G) = 1$, if $a(G) = 1$. Since the symmetric group always contains a transposition, Malle's conjecture implies that the counting function $Z(k, n; x)$ for degree n extensions with bounded discriminant as above behaves like $c(n)x$ for some $c(n) > 0$. The latter conjecture is proven for $n \leq 5$, see [5, 2, 3], but nothing is known for $n \geq 6$.

One result of this paper is that for every even n there exists a group G such that $Z(k, G; x) \sim c(k, G)x$ with $c(k, G) > 0$. This group G will be a wreath product of type $C_2 \wr H$, where $H \leq S_{n/2}$, see Corollaries 5 and 6. There are mild conditions for H , but those are fulfilled if H is nilpotent or regular for instance.

The main results will be Theorems 6 and 7. Let H be a permutation group which fulfills the mild conditions of Theorem 6. Then the counting function of $G := C_2 \wr H$ behaves like

$$Z(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \sim c(k, G)x, \text{ where } c(k, G) > 0.$$

Furthermore, the corresponding Dirichlet series has a simple pole at 1 and has a meromorphic continuation to real part larger than $5/6$.

Note that in [10] we have given a counter example to Conjecture 1. In these counter examples it might happen that the exponent at the log-factor is bigger than $b(k, G) - 1$ when certain subfields of cyclotomic extensions occur as intermediate fields. Nevertheless, the main philosophy of this conjecture is still expected to be true.

2. ZETA FUNCTIONS, HECKE L -SERIES, AND RAY CLASS GROUPS

In this section we collect some properties about Hecke L -series. For a number field k we denote by $\mathbb{P}(k)$ the set of prime ideals of the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_k of k . We denote by

$$\zeta_k(s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \Re(s) > 1$$

the Dedekind zeta function of k which converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\Re(s) > 1$. This function has a simple pole at $s = 1$ and we get the following estimates.

Lemma 1. *Let k be a number field of degree m with absolute discriminant d_k . Then:*

- 1 $|\zeta_k(s)| \leq \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Re(s))^m$ for all s with $\Re(s) > 1$.
- 2 For all $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$:

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=1} \zeta_k(s) \leq 2^{1+m} (d_k \pi^{-m/2})^\epsilon \epsilon^{1-m} \leq 2^{1+m} d_k^\epsilon \epsilon^{1-m}.$$

Proof. The first assertion is Corollary 3 in [18, p. 326]. The second one is Corollary 3 in [18, p. 332]. \square

For an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ we consider a character χ of the ray class group $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}}$, i.e. a homomorphism from $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ to \mathbb{C}^* . This character is only defined for ideals coprime to \mathfrak{c} . Let $S := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(k) : \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{c}\}$ be the exceptional set. For $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ we define $\chi(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. Therefore we multiplicatively extend this character to all ideals. Now we are able to define the Hecke L -series:

$$L_k(\chi, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(k)} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

As the Dedekind zeta function this product converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\Re(s) > 1$. For further properties we refer the reader to [18, p. 343].

The Hecke L -series have a meromorphic continuation to the left. In the following we need upper estimates for $L_k(\chi, s)$ in strips of the form $a < \Re(s) \leq 1$. The following theorem follows directly from [9, equation 5.20]. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7.4. in [18, p. 350], where we need to apply the convexity principle [14, p. 265].

Theorem 1. *Let k be a number field of degree m , $\mathfrak{f} \neq (0)$ be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_k , χ be a character of the ray class group $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathfrak{f}}$, and $D := d_k \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{f})$. Define $\delta := 1$ if χ is the trivial character and $\delta := 0$ otherwise. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all s with $0 \leq \sigma := \Re(s) \leq 1$ we get the following estimate:*

$$|(s-1)^\delta L_k(s, \chi)| \leq c(\epsilon, m) (D|1+s|^m)^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon}.$$

We can prove the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *With the same notations as in Theorem 1 we get for all $\epsilon > 0$:*

$$\left| L_k(s, \chi) - \frac{R(\chi)}{s-1} \right| \leq c(\epsilon, m) (D|1+s|^m)^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon},$$

where $R(\chi)$ denotes the residue of $L_k(s, \chi)$ at $s = 1$. We define $R(\chi) = 0$, if χ is not the trivial character.

Proof. If χ is not trivial this is Theorem 1. For the trivial character χ with exceptional set S we get:

$$L_k(s, \chi) = \zeta_k(s) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s} \right).$$

Using Lemma 1 we get for our residue:

$$|R(\chi)| \leq \tilde{c}(\epsilon, m) d_k^\epsilon \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Using Theorem 1 and by applying the triangular inequality we find a new constant $c(\epsilon, m)$ with

$$(s-1)L_k(s, \chi) - R(\chi) \leq c(\epsilon, m) (D|1+s|^m)^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon}.$$

Since $L_k(s, \chi) - R(\chi)/(s-1)$ is analytic in $s = 1$, we get the desired estimate for small $|s-1|$ using the maximum principle. \square

For our main results we need upper bounds for the number of cyclic extensions of a number field k which are at most ramified in a given finite set S of prime ideals. We refer the reader to [14, p.123-126] for properties of ray class groups which we use in the proof of the next theorem. In the following we denote by $\text{rk}_\ell(\text{Cl}_k)$ the ℓ -rank of the class group of k . We remark that we need the following result only for $\ell = 2$.

Theorem 2. *Let k be an algebraic number field of degree m with r_1 real embeddings, ℓ be a prime number, S be a finite set of prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_k , and*

$$S_1 := \{\mathfrak{p} \in S \mid \ell \notin \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Define

$$s := \begin{cases} \text{rk}_\ell(\text{Cl}_k) + |S_1| + 2m & \ell > 2 \\ \text{rk}_\ell(\text{Cl}_k) + |S_1| + 2m + r_1 & \ell = 2 \end{cases}.$$

Then there exist at most $\frac{\ell^s - 1}{\ell - 1}$ C_ℓ -extensions of k which are at most ramified in S .

Proof. The idea of the proof is to choose a module \mathfrak{m} in such a way that all C_ℓ -extensions are subfields of the ray class field of \mathfrak{m} . The infinite places are only important when $\ell = 2$. Each real infinite place may increase the 2-rank by at most 1. In case $\ell = 2$ we insert all real infinite places in \mathfrak{m}_∞ and define

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

where $e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus S_1$ we have wild ramification and the following estimates are valid for arbitrary $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1$. In the following we compute upper bounds for the ℓ -rank of $(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{m}_0)^*$. Using the chinese remainder theorem we get:

$$(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{m}_0)^* \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} (\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^* \text{ for } \mathfrak{m}_0 = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

In case $e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ we get that $(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})^*$ is the multiplicative group of a finite field which is therefore cyclic. This explains the $|S_1|$ -part in our formula. In case $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1$ we get $(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^* \cong (\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})^* \times (1 + \mathfrak{p})/(1 + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})$. This case can only occur when \mathfrak{p} is wildly ramified and therefore lies over ℓ . In this case the order of the multiplicative group of the residue field is coprime to ℓ . The second factor is an ℓ -group which can be generated by at most $[k_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_\ell] + 1$ elements (see e.g. [8]). Since

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathfrak{p}} [k_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_\ell] = m$$

we get the worst case when all prime ideals above ℓ are contained in S and all corresponding completions have degree 1. In that case we can estimate the contribution to the rank of those prime ideals by $2m$. The contribution of the unramified extensions to the ℓ -rank is estimated by the ℓ -rank of the class group. \square

Unfortunately we do not know good estimates for the ℓ -rank of the class group. The best thing we can do in general is to bound $\ell^{\text{rk}_\ell(\text{Cl}_k)} \leq |\text{Cl}_k|$. The latter expression can be bounded by the following (see [18, p. 153]).

Theorem 3. *For all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist constants $c(m)$ and $c(m, \epsilon)$ such that for all number fields k/\mathbb{Q} of degree m we have:*

$$|\text{Cl}_k| \leq c(m)d_k^{1/2} \log(d_k)^{m-1} \text{ and}$$

$$|\text{Cl}_k| \leq c(m, \epsilon)d_k^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

In Section 5 we need the following estimate for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$. Denote by $\omega(\mathfrak{a})$ the number of different prime ideal factors and by $t_k(\mathfrak{a})$ the number of different ideal factors of \mathfrak{a} .

Lemma 2. *Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist constants $c(\epsilon, m)$ and $c(\epsilon, m, b)$ such that for all number fields k of degree m the following estimates hold:*

- 1 $t_k(\mathfrak{a}) \leq c(\epsilon, m)\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^\epsilon$,
- 2 $b^{\omega(\mathfrak{a})} \leq c(\epsilon, m, b)\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^\epsilon$.

Proof. The first part is Lemma 2.2 in [11]. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be the factorization of \mathfrak{a} . Then:

$$t_k(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (e_{\mathfrak{p}} + 1) \text{ and } b^{\omega(\mathfrak{a})} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} b.$$

Therefore we have $b^{\omega(\mathfrak{a})} < t_k(\mathfrak{a}^{b-1}) \leq c_1(\epsilon, m)\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^{(b-1)\epsilon}$ using the first part of our lemma. Now our assertion follows easily. \square

Later on we need some estimates about squarefull numbers. A positive integer N is called squarefull, if $p \mid N$ implies $p^2 \mid N$. Note that a squarefull integer can be uniquely written as $N = N_1^3 N_2^2$, where N_1 is squarefree. Therefore we get the generating Dirichlet series:

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_N}{N^s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)\zeta(3s)}{\zeta(6s)},$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann ζ -function and $a_N = 1$ if and only if N is squarefull and $a_N = 0$ otherwise. Denote by $S(x)$ the number of squarefull numbers below x . As a consequence of a Theorem of Erdős and Szekeres (e.g. also see [1, Theorem 1], [19, exercise 10, p.54]) we know that there exists a constant A such that

$$(1) \quad S(x) \leq Ax^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } x \geq 1.$$

We denote by $\omega(N)$ the number of different prime factors of an integer N . Then we use (see [19, Section 5.3, page 83]):

$$(2) \quad \omega(N) \leq (1 + o(1)) \log N / \log \log N (N \rightarrow \infty).$$

This certainly implies that

$$(3) \quad \omega(N) \leq B \frac{\log N}{\log \log(N+2)}$$

for any $N \geq 1$ for some constant B . Now we are able to prove:

Lemma 3. *Let $d \geq 1$ be a real number and denote by $T(x)$ the set of squarefull numbers below x . Then there exists for all $\epsilon > 0$ a constant $c(d, \epsilon)$ such that*

$$\sum_{N \in T(x)} d^{\omega(N)} \leq c(d, \epsilon)x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \text{ for every } x \geq 1.$$

Proof. We have the inequalities

$$\sum_{N \in T(x)} d^{\omega(N)} \leq S(x) \max_{N \leq x} d^{\omega(N)} \leq Ax^{1/2} d^{B \log x / \log \log(x+2)}$$

using equations (1) and (3). Now we have

$$d^{\frac{B \log x}{\log \log(x+2)}} = x^{\frac{B \log(d)}{\log \log(x+2)}} = O_{d,\epsilon}(x^\epsilon)$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Putting this together we get the wanted estimate. \square

3. QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS

The asymptotics of quadratic extensions of a number field k is well studied and known. Let us define the following Dirichlet series corresponding to $Z(k, C_2; x)$:

$$\Phi_{k,C_2}(s) := \sum_{[K:k]=2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^s} = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_N}{N^s}.$$

It is known that this Dirichlet series converges for $\Re(s) > 1$. Here a_N is the number of quadratic extensions K/k such that $\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}) = N$. This means that $a_N \geq 0$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The following theorem is proved in [4]:

Theorem 4 (Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, Olivier). *Let k be a number field with $i(k)$ complex embeddings. Then we get for $\Re(s) > 1$:*

$$\Phi_{k,C_2}(s) = -1 + \frac{2^{-i(k)}}{\zeta_k(2s)} \sum_{\mathfrak{c} | 2\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{N}(2\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{c})^{1-2s} \sum_{\chi} L_k(s, \chi),$$

where χ runs over the quadratic characters of the ray class group $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}^2}$ and $L_k(s, \chi)$ is the Hecke L -series of k corresponding to χ .

Using a Tauberian theorem (see e.g. [17, p. 121]) the following corollary is proved in [4].

Corollary 2 (Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, Olivier).

$$Z(k, C_2; x) \sim 2^{-i(k)} \frac{\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_k(s)}{\zeta_k(2)} x,$$

where $2^{-i(k)} \frac{\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_k(s)}{\zeta_k(2)}$ equals the residue in $s = 1$ of Φ_{k,C_2} .

Our Dirichlet series has a simple pole at $s = 1$ and has a meromorphic continuation to the left. The proof of the following theorem comes from the properties of Hecke L -series. The number of characters, i.e. the number of summands can be bounded by the size of the ray class group which can be bounded up to a constant term depending on $[K : k]$ by the size of the class group of k . The latter one we bound by $O_{\epsilon,m}(d_k^{1/2+\epsilon})$, where $m = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. Altogether we get:

Theorem 5. $\Phi_{k,C_2}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation for $\Re(s) > 1/2$. In this area it has only one pole at $s = 1$ with residue $R(k) = \frac{2^{-i(k)} \text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_k(s)}{\zeta_k(2)}$. Furthermore, the function $g_k(s) := \Phi_{k,C_2}(s) - \frac{R(k)}{s-1}$ is analytic for $\Re(s) > 1/2$ and we get for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $\Re(s) > 1/2$:

$$|g_k(s)| \leq c(\epsilon, m)(d_k |1 + s|^m)^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon} d_k^{1/2}.$$

4. WREATH PRODUCTS

Let $H_1 \leq S_e$ and $H_2 \leq S_d$ be two transitive groups and assume $n = ed$. Then the wreath product $H_1 \wr H_2 \cong H_1^d \rtimes H_2 \leq S_n$ is a semidirect product, where $H_2 \leq S_d$ permutes the d copies of H_1 . For a formal definition we refer the reader to [6, p. 46]. The wreath product has a nice field theoretic interpretation in Galois theory. Assume that we have a field tower $L/K/k$ such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) = H_1$ and $\text{Gal}(K/k) = H_2$. Then we get that $\text{Gal}(L/k) \leq H_1 \wr H_2$, see [13].

We want to study the asymptotic behavior of our counting function $Z(k, G; x)$ for wreath products $G = H_1 \wr H_2$ when we assume that we have some information for the corresponding counting functions for H_1 and H_2 . First results in this direction already appear in [15]. The $a(G)$ -part of the following lemma is [15, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 4. *Let k be a number field and $H_1 \leq S_e, H_2 \leq S_d$ be transitive groups. Let $G := H_1 \wr H_2$. Then*

$$a(G) = a(H_1) \text{ and } b(k, G) = b(k, H_1).$$

Proof. Let $g = (h_1, h_2) \in H_1 \wr H_2$ where $h_1 = (h_{1,1}, \dots, h_{1,d}) \in H_1^d$ and h_2 is the image of g under the projection to the complement H_2 . If $h_2 \neq 1$ then g interchanges at least two blocks. Therefore the number of orbits is at most $(d-2)e + e = (d-1)e$. On the other hand, if $h_2 = 1, h_{1,2} = \dots = h_{1,d} = 1$ then g has at least $(d-1)e + 1$ orbits. Thus we may assume that $h_2 = 1$ and elements with minimal index have the property that $d-1$ of the $h_{1,i}$ equal 1. By conjugating with a suitable element of type $(1, \tilde{h}_2) \in G$ we can assume that $h_{1,2} = \dots = h_{1,d} = 1$. Now let $h \in H_1$ be an element of minimal index $e - \ell$. Then $\text{ind}(((h, 1, \dots, 1), 1)) = n - (d-1)e - \ell = e - \ell$. This shows $a(H_1) = a(G)$. It is clear that h and $\tilde{h} \in H_1$ are conjugated in H_1 if and only if $((h, 1, \dots, 1), 1)$ and $((\tilde{h}, 1, \dots, 1), 1)$ are conjugated in $G = H_1 \wr H_2$. h and \tilde{h} are in the same k -conjugacy class if a suitable power \tilde{h}^a is conjugated to h . This statement remains true in the wreath product representation. Therefore we get the second statement. \square

5. WREATH PRODUCTS OF THE FORM $C_2 \wr H$

In this section we prove Conjecture 1 for groups $G = C_2 \wr H$, where we need to assume weak properties of the asymptotic function for $H \leq S_d$. The proofs are inspired by the methods described in [4], where the corresponding results were shown for $G = D_4 \cong C_2 \wr C_2$.

Let L/k be an extension with Galois group $G = C_2 \wr H$. Then there exists a subfield $K \leq L$ such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) = C_2$ and $\text{Gal}(K/k) = H$. In a first step of our proof we will count all "field towers" of this type, i.e. we count all extensions L/k such that there exists an intermediate field K with $\text{Gal}(L/K) = C_2$ and $\text{Gal}(K/k) = H$. We remark that $\text{Gal}(L/k) \leq C_2 \wr H$ using a theorem of Krasner and Kaloujnine [13]. In a second step of the proof we show that the asymptotics of proper subgroups which occur in such field towers is strictly less.

In [11, Proposition 8.3] we already proved the following upper bound for wreath products of this type. This proof is based on Proposition 5.2. and Corollary 5.3. in [15] with $\delta_0 = 1/2$ coming from Theorem 3. We remark that we weakened the assumption by replacing the exponent $a(H) + \delta$ by $1 + \delta$. The same proof gives the new result.

Proposition 1. *Let k be a number field, $H \leq S_d$ be a transitive permutation group such that $Z(k, H; x) \leq c(k, H, \delta) x^{1+\delta}$ for all $\delta > 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $c(k, C_2 \wr H, \epsilon)$ such that*

$$Z(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \leq c(k, C_2 \wr H, \epsilon) x^{a(C_2 \wr H) + \epsilon}.$$

We remark that $a(C_2 \wr H) = a(C_2) = 1$ by Lemma 4. Furthermore we remark that the proof counts all fields towers $L/K/k$ as above. Therefore the same upper bound applies.

In the following let us assume that for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$Z(k, H; x) \leq c(k, H, \epsilon) x^{1+\epsilon}.$$

We remark that using the results in [11] this assumption is true for all p -groups. Using results proved in [7] this assumption is also true for all regular H , i.e. when K/k is normal. For the first step we define the corresponding counting function

$$\tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x) := \#\{L/k \mid \exists K : \text{Gal}(L/K) = C_2, \text{Gal}(K/k) = H, \mathcal{N}(d_{L/k}) \leq x\}.$$

Using our assumption on H and Proposition 1 we get for all $\epsilon > 0$ that

$$\tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \leq c(k, H, \epsilon) x^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Let us associate the corresponding Dirichlet series $\Phi(s)$ to $\tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x)$ which is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{K}_H := \{K/k \mid \text{Gal}(K/k) = H\}.$$

Using the equality $\mathcal{N}(d_{L/k}) = \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^2 \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})$ and that Φ is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1$ we get in that area:

$$(4) \quad \Phi(s) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{\Phi_{K, C_2}(s)}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{2s}},$$

where $\Phi_{K, C_2}(s)$ is the Dirichlet series associated to $Z(K, C_2; x)$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that there exists at least one extension of k with Galois group H and that the following estimate holds for all $\epsilon > 0$:*

$$Z(k, H; x) = O_{k, H, \epsilon}(x^{1+\epsilon}).$$

Then the function $\Phi(s)$ defined in equation (4) has a meromorphic continuation to $\Re(s) > 5/6$. In this area it has exactly one pole at $s = 1$.

Proof. Using Theorem 5 the result is trivial if there are only finitely many extensions of k with Galois group H . We remark that d_K and $\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})$ only differ by a constant depending on k and H since $d_K = d_k^{[K:k]} \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})$. Using our assumption we get that the Dirichlet series

$$(5) \quad \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^s}$$

converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\Re(s) > 1$. We consider the function

$$g(s) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{\Phi_{K, C_2}(s) - R(K)/(s-1)}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{2s}},$$

where $R(K)$ is the residue of Φ_{K, C_2} at $s = 1$. Using Theorem 5 we get that $g_K(s) := \Phi_{K, C_2}(s) - R(K)/(s - 1)$ is an analytic function for $\Re(s) > 1/2$. Furthermore we get by Theorem 5 for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $\Re(s) > 1/2$ the following estimate:

$$|g_K(s)| = O_{\epsilon, [k: \mathbb{Q}]}(|d_K(s + 1)^{[K: \mathbb{Q}]^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon}} d_K^{1/2},$$

where $\sigma = \Re(s)$. The function

$$g(s) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{g_K(s)}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{2s}}$$

converges absolutely and locally uniformly using (5), if $\sigma = \Re(s)$ satisfies the inequality

$$2\sigma - (1/2 + (1 - \sigma)/2 + \epsilon) > 1 \Leftrightarrow 5/2\sigma > 2 + \epsilon \Leftrightarrow \sigma > 4/5 + 2/5\epsilon.$$

Therefore $g(s)$ is an analytic function for $\Re(s) > 5/6$.

Using Lemma 1 we have $R(K) = O_{\epsilon, [k: \mathbb{Q}]}(d_K^\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Since $d_K = d_k^{[K:k]} \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})$ we get that

$$\frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{R(K)}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{2s}}$$

converges absolutely and locally uniformly for all regions which are contained in $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(s) > 5/6 \text{ and } s \neq 1\}$. The absolute convergence of all considered series gives the wished result for

$$\Phi(s) = g(s) + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{R(K)/(s-1)}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{2s}}.$$

□

As an application of a suitable Tauberian theorem (see e.g. [17, p. 121]) we immediately get:

Corollary 3. *Using the same assumptions as in Theorem 6 we get:*

$$\tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \sim \text{res}_{s=1}(\Phi(s))x.$$

In the following we would like to show that

$$\tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \sim Z(k, C_2 \wr H; x)$$

holds, i.e. extensions which do not have the wreath product as Galois group do not contribute to the main term. We need some group theory.

Definition 3. Let $G \leq S_n$ be a transitive group operating on $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ is called a block of G , if $\Delta^g \cap \Delta \in \{\Delta, \emptyset\}$ for all $g \in G$. If G has only blocks of size 1 or n we call G primitive. Otherwise G is called imprimitive.

We remark that a field extension L/k contains non-trivial subfields if and only if $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ is imprimitive. The blocks containing 1 are in 1-1 correspondence to the subfields of L/k .

Lemma 5. *Let $G \leq S_n$ be a transitive group containing a transposition. Then:*

- 1 All transpositions are conjugated in G , i.e. $b(k, G) = 1$.
- 2 $G = S_e \wr H$ for some $1 \neq e \mid n$ and $H \leq S_{n/e}$ transitive.

Proof. The first part is [16, Lemma 2.2]. If G is primitive the second statement with $e = 1$ and $H = G$ is [6, Theorem 3.3A]. Assume that $\tau = (i, j)$ is a transposition of G and B is a minimal block of size larger than 1 containing i . Then $\tau(i) = j \in B$ since all the other elements in B are fixed by τ . Therefore $G|_B$ contains a transposition and operates primitively on B (B is a minimal block). Therefore the operation of $G|_B$ on B is isomorphic to $S_{|B|}$. Let \tilde{B} be a conjugated block of B . By conjugating τ we can find a transposition in \tilde{B} . Therefore we find $n/|B|$ different copies of $S_{|B|}$. Therefore $G \cong S_{|B|} \wr H$, where H is the image of the natural homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_{n/|B|}$ which permutes the conjugated blocks. \square

Now we apply this lemma to our situation of field towers. Having a subfield K with L/K of degree $e = 2$ means that $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ contains a block system of blocks of size 2.

Lemma 6. *Let $L/K/k$ be extensions of number fields with $\text{Gal}(K/k) = H$ and $[L : K] = 2$. Let p be a prime which is unramified in K/k and assume $p \nmid \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})$. Then $\text{Gal}(L/k) = C_2 \wr H$.*

Note that p unramified in K/k and $p \nmid \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})$ is equivalent to $p \nmid \mathcal{N}(d_{L/k})$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_k which is ramified in L . Consider the prime ideal factorization $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_r^{e_r}$. Then Dedekind's discriminant theorem (see e.g. [12, Satz 3.12.11]) implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(D_{L/k}) \geq (e_1 - 1)f_1 + \cdots + (e_r - 1)f_r$, where f_i denotes the inertia degree of $\mathfrak{P}_i/\mathfrak{p}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the exponential valuation. Furthermore we get equality when there is no wild ramification, i.e. $p \nmid e_i$ for all i . Since p is unramified in K/k and $p \nmid \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})$ there is at most one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in \mathcal{O}_k such that $\sum_{i=1}^r (e_i - 1)f_i = 1$. This implies that exactly one $e_i = 2$ and all the other $e_j = 1$. Taking the corresponding inertia group generator, this element acts as a transposition in $\text{Gal}(L/k)$. Let $\tau = (i, j)$ be such a transposition and B a minimal block of $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ corresponding to K which contains i . When we apply the proof of Lemma 5 to this situation we get the wanted result. \square

We remark that we can replace the prime p in the above lemma by any unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$. This does not improve the following estimates.

In the following we would like to count all field towers $L/K/k$ counted by $\tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x)$ such that $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ is a proper subgroup of $C_2 \wr H$. Therefore we define

$$Y(k, C_2 \wr H; x) :=$$

$$\#\{L/K/k \mid \text{Gal}(L/k) \neq C_2 \wr H, \text{Gal}(K/k) = H, [L : K] = 2, \mathcal{N}(d_{L/k}) \leq x\}.$$

We find upper bounds for this function when we count all field towers $L/K/k$ which do not satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 6. Before we examine those field towers we need a definition.

Definition 4. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer and $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be a set of primes. Then a^S is defined to be the largest divisor of a coprime to S .

For a field tower $k \subset K \subset L$ we get:

$$\mathcal{N}(d_{L/k}) = \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}^2) \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K}) \geq \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}^2) \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})^{S_K},$$

where $S_K := \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \mid \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})\}$. We define

$$\hat{Z}^{S_K}(K, C_2; x) := \#\{L/K \mid \text{Gal}(L/K) = C_2, \mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})^{S_K} \leq x,$$

$$p \mid (\mathcal{N}(d_{L/K}))^{S_K} \Rightarrow p^2 \mid (\mathcal{N}(d_{L/K}))^{S_K} \forall p \in \mathbb{P}$$

and get

$$Y(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H(x^{1/2})} \hat{Z}^{S_K}(K, C_2; x/\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}^2)),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_H(x) := \{K \in \mathcal{K}_H \mid \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}) \leq x\}$. We need an estimate for $\hat{Z}^{S_K}(K, C_2; x)$. For fixed K we denote by a_N the number of fields L of degree 2 over K such that $\mathcal{N}(d_{L/K})^{S_K} = N$. Since we ignore all primes in S_K and all other prime divisors occur with multiplicity at least 2, we get that $a_N = 0$ if N is not squarefull. We choose $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}(K)$ as the set containing all prime ideals which lie over a prime in S_K or over a prime dividing N . We are interested in the number of quadratic extensions of K which are at most ramified in prime ideals contained in S . We get $|S| \leq (\omega(N) + |S_K|)t$, where $\omega(N)$ is the number of different prime factors and $t := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Note that $|S_K| \leq \omega(d_{K/k})$ and $\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}) \leq d_K$. Therefore using Lemma 2 we derive the upper bound $2^{t|S_K|} \leq c(\epsilon, t, 2)d_K^\epsilon$, where the constant is not depending on K . Combining this with Theorems 2 and 3 we get with a new constant:

$$a_N \leq 2^{\text{rk}_2(\text{Cl}_K)} 2^{t(\omega(N) + |S_K|)} 2^{3t} \leq c(t, \epsilon) d_K^{1/2 + \epsilon} 2^{t\omega(N)}.$$

Note that $a_N = 0$ if N is not squarefull. Therefore we get:

$$\sum_{N \in T(x)} a_N \leq c(t, \epsilon) d_K^{1/2 + \epsilon} \sum_{N \in T(x)} 2^{t\omega(N)}.$$

Using Lemma 3 we can bound the latter sum by $O(x^{1/2 + \epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and we get with a new constant $c(t, \epsilon)$:

$$\hat{Z}^{S_K}(K, C_2; x) \leq c(t, \epsilon) d_K^{1/2 + \epsilon} x^{1/2 + \epsilon}.$$

Inserting this in the above estimate for $Y(k, X_2 \wr H; x)$ we get using $d_K = d_k^2 \mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(k, C_2 \wr H; x) &\leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H(x^{1/2})} c(t, \epsilon) (d_k^2 \mathcal{N}(d_K))^{1/2 + \epsilon} \left(\frac{x}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}^2)} \right)^{1/2 + \epsilon} \\ &\leq c(t, \epsilon) d_k^{1 + 2\epsilon} x^{1/2 + \epsilon} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H(x^{1/2})} \frac{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{1/2 + \epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{1 + 2\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

Using $\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k}) \leq x^{1/2}$ we get:

$$Y(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \leq c(t, \epsilon) d_k^{1 + 2\epsilon} x^{1/2 + \epsilon} x^{1/4 + \epsilon} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H(x^{1/2})} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(d_{K/k})^{1 + 2\epsilon}}.$$

The last sum converges under the assumption for H of Theorem 6. This proves for all $\epsilon > 0$ the following estimate:

$$Y(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \leq c(k, H, t, \epsilon) x^{3/4 + 2\epsilon}.$$

Using the identity $Z(k, C_2 \wr H; x) + Y(k, C_2 \wr H; x) = \tilde{Z}(k, C_2 \wr H; x)$ and Theorem 6 we proved the following:

Theorem 7. *Assume the same as in Theorem 6. Then the Dirichlet series corresponding to $Z(k, C_2 \wr H; x)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\Re(s) > 5/6$, where*

$s = 1$ is the only pole in that region. The residue r of that simple pole coincides with the one of the function $\Phi(s)$. We get:

$$Z(k, C_2 \wr H; x) \sim \text{res}_{s=1}(\Phi(s))x.$$

We are able to give an expression for this residue as a convergent sum.

Corollary 4.

$$\text{res}_{s=1}(\Phi(s)) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_H} \frac{\text{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s)}{2^{i(K)} d_K^2 \zeta_K(2)}.$$

These results support our main conjecture.

Corollary 5. *Conjecture 1 is true for all $C_2 \wr H$ and all number fields k such that H fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 6.*

We have already remarked that this assumption is true for all p -groups and all regular permutation groups. Therefore we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6. *For even n there exists a group $G \leq S_n$ with $a(G) = 1$ and*

$$Z(k, G; x) \sim c(k, G)x = c(k, G)x^{a(G)}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Étienne Fouvry and Gunter Malle for many discussions about this topic. Furthermore I would like to thank the referee who made many useful comments improving this paper. This project was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bateman, and E. Grosswald. On a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres. *Illinois J. Math.*, 2:88–98, 1958.
- [2] M. Bhargava. The density of discriminants of quartic rings and fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(2):1031–1063, 2005.
- [3] M. Bhargava. The density of discriminants of quintic rings and fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(3):1559–1591, 2010.
- [4] H. Cohen, F. Diaz y Diaz, and M. Olivier. Enumerating quartic dihedral extensions of \mathbb{Q} . *Compositio Math.*, 133(1):65–93, 2002.
- [5] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn. On the density of discriminants of cubic fields. II. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 322(1551):405–420, 1971.
- [6] J. Dixon and B. Mortimer. *Permutation groups*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.
- [7] J. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh. The number of extensions of a number field with fixed degree and bounded discriminant. *Ann. of Math.*, 163:723–741, 2006.
- [8] F. Hess, S. Pauli, and M. E. Pohst. Computing the multiplicative group of residue class rings. *Math. Comput.*, 72(243):1531–1548, 2003.
- [9] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski. *Analytic Number Theory*, volume 53 of *Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [10] J. Klüners. A counterexample to Malle’s conjecture on the asymptotics of discriminants. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(6):411–414, 2005.
- [11] J. Klüners and G. Malle. Counting nilpotent Galois extensions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572:1–26, 2004.
- [12] H. Koch. *Zahlentheorie, algebraische Zahlen und Funktionen*. Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1997.
- [13] M. Krasner and L. Kaloujnine. Produit complet des groupes de permutation et problème d’extension de groupes II. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 14:39–66, 1951.
- [14] S. Lang. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1986.
- [15] G. Malle. On the distribution of Galois groups. *J. Numb. Theory*, 92:315–322, 2002.

- [16] G. Malle. On the distribution of Galois groups II. *Exp. Math.*, 13:129–135, 2004.
- [17] W. Narkiewicz. *Number Theory*. World Scientific, 1983.
- [18] W. Narkiewicz. *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*. Springer, 1989.
- [19] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
E-mail address: `klueners@math.uni-paderborn.de`

UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, D-33095 PADERBORN, GERMANY.