

On the regularization of linear descriptor systems

Thomas Berger, Timo Reis

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Hamburg

Berlin, May 6, 2015

Regularization of linear systems

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)} \quad [E, A, B]$$

$$E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m}$$

Aim: $[E, A, B] \rightsquigarrow [\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$
s.t. $s\hat{E} - \hat{A}$ is regular

1. approach: state feedback
2. approach: behavioral equivalence
3. approach: 1. + 2. + permutation

Regularization of linear systems

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)} \quad [E, A, B]$$

$$E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m}$$

Aim: $[E, A, B] \rightsquigarrow [\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$
s.t. $s\hat{E} - \hat{A}$ is regular

1. approach: state feedback
2. approach: behavioral equivalence
3. approach: 1. + 2. + permutation

Regularization of linear systems

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)} \quad [E, A, B]$$

$$E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m}$$

Aim: $[E, A, B] \rightsquigarrow [\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$
s.t. $s\hat{E} - \hat{A}$ is regular

1. approach: state feedback
2. approach: behavioral equivalence
3. approach: 1. + 2. + permutation

Some basic notions

$sE - A$ is **regular**, if $\ell = n$ and $\det(sE - A) \in \mathbb{R}[s] \setminus \{0\}$

regular $sE - A$ has **index** $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if

$$S(sE - A)T = \begin{bmatrix} sI_r - J & 0 \\ 0 & sN - I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{then } \nu := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r = n, \\ \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid N^k = 0 \}, & \text{if } r < n \end{cases}$$

augmented Wong sequences:

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathcal{V}_{i+1} := A^{-1}(E\mathcal{V}_i + \text{im } B), \quad i \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{V}^* := \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{V}_i$$

$$\mathcal{W}_0 := \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i+1} := E^{-1}(A\mathcal{W}_i + \text{im } B), \quad i \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{W}^* := \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}_i$$

Some basic notions

$sE - A$ is **regular**, if $\ell = n$ and $\det(sE - A) \in \mathbb{R}[s] \setminus \{0\}$

regular $sE - A$ has **index** $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if

$$S(sE - A)T = \begin{bmatrix} sI_r - J & 0 \\ 0 & sN - I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{then } \nu := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r = n, \\ \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid N^k = 0 \}, & \text{if } r < n \end{cases}$$

augmented Wong sequences:

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathcal{V}_{i+1} := A^{-1}(E\mathcal{V}_i + \text{im } B), \quad i \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{V}^* := \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{V}_i$$

$$\mathcal{W}_0 := \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i+1} := E^{-1}(A\mathcal{W}_i + \text{im } B), \quad i \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{W}^* := \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}_i$$

Some basic notions

$sE - A$ is **regular**, if $\ell = n$ and $\det(sE - A) \in \mathbb{R}[s] \setminus \{0\}$

regular $sE - A$ has **index** $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if

$$S(sE - A)T = \begin{bmatrix} sI_r - J & 0 \\ 0 & sN - I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{then } \nu := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r = n, \\ \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid N^k = 0 \}, & \text{if } r < n \end{cases}$$

augmented Wong sequences:

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathcal{V}_{i+1} := A^{-1}(E\mathcal{V}_i + \text{im } B), \quad i \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{V}^* := \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{V}_i$$

$$\mathcal{W}_0 := \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i+1} := E^{-1}(A\mathcal{W}_i + \text{im } B), \quad i \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{W}^* := \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}_i$$

Approach 1: State feedback

Here: $\ell = n$, $u(t) = Fx(t)$ for some $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = (A + BF)x(t)$$

Thm. [Bunse-Gerstner et al. '92]:

$\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : sE - (A + BF)$ is regular and has index ≤ 1
 $\iff [E, A, B]$ is impulse controllable ($\text{im } E + A \ker E + \text{im } B = \mathbb{R}^n$)

Thm.: $\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : sE - (A + BF)$ is regular

$$\iff \dim(E\mathcal{V}^* + \text{im } B) = \dim \mathcal{V}^* \quad [\text{Özçaldiran/Lewis '90}]$$

$$\iff \dim(AW^* + \text{im } B) = \dim W^* \quad [\text{Özçaldiran/Lewis '90}]$$

$$\iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] = n \quad [\text{Duan/Zhang '03}]$$

Approach 1: State feedback

Here: $\ell = n$, $u(t) = Fx(t)$ for some $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = (A + BF)x(t)$$

Thm. [Bunse-Gerstner et al. '92]:

$\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : sE - (A + BF)$ is regular and has index ≤ 1
 $\iff [E, A, B]$ is impulse controllable ($\text{im } E + A \ker E + \text{im } B = \mathbb{R}^n$)

Thm.: $\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : sE - (A + BF)$ is regular

$$\iff \dim(E\mathcal{V}^* + \text{im } B) = \dim \mathcal{V}^* \quad [\text{Özçaldiran/Lewis '90}]$$

$$\iff \dim(A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B) = \dim \mathcal{W}^* \quad [\text{Özçaldiran/Lewis '90}]$$

$$\iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] = n \quad [\text{Duan/Zhang '03}]$$

Approach 1: State feedback

Here: $\ell = n$, $u(t) = Fx(t)$ for some $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = (A + BF)x(t)$$

Thm. [Bunse-Gerstner et al. '92]:

$\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : sE - (A + BF)$ is regular and has index ≤ 1
 $\iff [E, A, B]$ is impulse controllable ($\text{im } E + A \ker E + \text{im } B = \mathbb{R}^n$)

Thm.: $\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : sE - (A + BF)$ is regular

$$\iff \dim(E\mathcal{V}^* + \text{im } B) = \dim \mathcal{V}^* \quad [\text{Özçaldiran/Lewis '90}]$$

$$\iff \dim(A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B) = \dim \mathcal{W}^* \quad [\text{Özçaldiran/Lewis '90}]$$

$$\iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] = n \quad [\text{Duan/Zhang '03}]$$

Def.: $[E, A]$ is *autonomous*, if

$$\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = Ax(t) \wedge Ex(0) = 0 \implies x = 0$$

Lemma:

$$sE - A \text{ is regular} \iff \ell = n \wedge [E, A] \text{ is autonomous}$$

Thm. [Fletcher '86]:

$$\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : [E, A + BF] \text{ is aut.} \iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] \geq n$$

Thm. [B./Reis '15]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : [E, A + BF] \text{ is autonomous} \\ \iff & \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] \geq n \\ \iff & \dim(E\mathcal{V}^* + \text{im } B) \geq \dim \mathcal{V}^* \\ \iff & \dim(A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B) \geq \dim \mathcal{W}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Def.: $[E, A]$ is *autonomous*, if

$$\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = Ax(t) \wedge Ex(0) = 0 \implies x = 0$$

Lemma:

$$sE - A \text{ is regular} \iff \ell = n \wedge [E, A] \text{ is autonomous}$$

Thm. [Fletcher '86]:

$$\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : [E, A + BF] \text{ is aut.} \iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] \geq n$$

Thm. [B./Reis '15]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : [E, A + BF] \text{ is autonomous} \\ \iff & \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] \geq n \\ \iff & \dim(E\mathcal{V}^* + \text{im } B) \geq \dim \mathcal{V}^* \\ \iff & \dim(A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B) \geq \dim \mathcal{W}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Def.: $[E, A]$ is *autonomous*, if

$$\frac{d}{dt}Ex(t) = Ax(t) \wedge Ex(0) = 0 \implies x = 0$$

Lemma:

$$sE - A \text{ is regular} \iff \ell = n \wedge [E, A] \text{ is autonomous}$$

Thm. [Fletcher '86]:

$$\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : [E, A + BF] \text{ is aut.} \iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] \geq n$$

Thm. [B./Reis '15]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : [E, A + BF] \text{ is autonomous} \\ \iff & \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] \geq n \\ \iff & \dim(E\mathcal{V}^* + \text{im } B) \geq \dim \mathcal{V}^* \\ \iff & \dim(A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B) \geq \dim \mathcal{W}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Approach 2: Behavioral equivalence

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \stackrel{\text{beh.}}{\iff} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t)$$

$$s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is regular}$$

Def.: The *behavior* of $[E, A, B]$ is

$$\mathfrak{B}_{[E,A,B]} = \{ (x, u) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty \times \mathcal{C}^\infty \mid E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \}.$$

Two systems $[E_i, A_i, B_i]$, $i = 1, 2$, are *behaviorally equivalent* ($[E_1, A_1, B_1] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} [E_2, A_2, B_2]$), if

$$\mathfrak{B}_{[E_1, A_1, B_1]} = \mathfrak{B}_{[E_2, A_2, B_2]}.$$

Approach 2: Behavioral equivalence

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \stackrel{\text{beh.}}{\iff} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t)$$

$$s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is regular}$$

Def.: The *behavior* of $[E, A, B]$ is

$$\mathfrak{B}_{[E, A, B]} = \{ (x, u) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty \times \mathcal{C}^\infty \mid E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \}.$$

Two systems $[E_i, A_i, B_i]$, $i = 1, 2$, are *behaviorally equivalent* $([E_1, A_1, B_1] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} [E_2, A_2, B_2])$, if

$$\mathfrak{B}_{[E_1, A_1, B_1]} = \mathfrak{B}_{[E_2, A_2, B_2]}.$$

Def.: $[E, A, B]$ is *minimal*, if

$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \forall [\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}]$ with k rows :

$$\left([E, A, B] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} \left[\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E} \\ 0_{\ell-k, n} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} \\ 0_{\ell-k, n} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B} \\ 0_{\ell-k, m} \end{bmatrix} \right] \implies k = \ell \right).$$

Thm. : $[E, A, B]$ is minimal

$$\iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] = \ell \quad [\text{Polderman/Willems '98}]$$

$$\iff E\mathcal{V}^* + A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B = \mathbb{R}^\ell \quad [\text{B./Reis '15}]$$

Def.: $[E, A, B]$ is *minimal*, if

$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \forall [\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}]$ with k rows :

$$\left([E, A, B] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} \left[\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E} \\ 0_{\ell-k, n} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} \\ 0_{\ell-k, n} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B} \\ 0_{\ell-k, m} \end{bmatrix} \right] \implies k = \ell \right).$$

Thm. : $[E, A, B]$ is minimal

$$\iff \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}(s)}[sE - A, B] = \ell \quad [\text{Polderman/Willems '98}]$$

$$\iff E\mathcal{V}^* + A\mathcal{W}^* + \text{im } B = \mathbb{R}^\ell \quad [\text{B./Reis '15}]$$

Approach 3: Combination of 1 and 2 + permutation

Feedback transformation of $[E, A, B]$:

$$S [sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma: $[E_1, A_1, B_1] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} [E_2, A_2, B_2] \iff \exists U(s) \in \mathbf{Gl}_{\ell}(\mathbb{R}[s]) :$

$$[sE_1 - A_1, -B_1] = U(s)[sE_2 - A_2, -B_2]$$

Behavioral and feedback transformation of $[E, A, B]$:

$$U(s) [sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix}$$

Approach 3: Combination of 1 and 2 + permutation

Feedback transformation of $[E, A, B]$:

$$S [sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma: $[E_1, A_1, B_1] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} [E_2, A_2, B_2] \iff \exists U(s) \in \mathbf{Gl}_{\ell}(\mathbb{R}[s]) :$

$$[sE_1 - A_1, -B_1] = U(s)[sE_2 - A_2, -B_2]$$

Behavioral and feedback transformation of $[E, A, B]$:

$$U(s) [sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix}$$

Approach 3: Combination of 1 and 2 + permutation

Feedback transformation of $[E, A, B]$:

$$S [sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma: $[E_1, A_1, B_1] \simeq_{\mathfrak{B}} [E_2, A_2, B_2] \iff \exists U(s) \in \mathbf{Gl}_{\ell}(\mathbb{R}[s]) :$

$$[sE_1 - A_1, -B_1] = U(s)[sE_2 - A_2, -B_2]$$

Behavioral and feedback transformation of $[E, A, B]$:

$$U(s) [sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix}$$

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Approaches 1 and 2 do not work!

x_2, x_3 are free $\rightsquigarrow x_2, x_3$ are inputs in the behavioral framework

$u = 0$ $\rightsquigarrow u$ is a state in the behavioral framework

Permutation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{u}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is regular and has index 1

→ general (numerical) procedure derived by [Campbell et al. '12]

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Approaches 1 and 2 do not work!

x_2, x_3 are free $\rightsquigarrow x_2, x_3$ are inputs in the behavioral framework

$u = 0$ $\rightsquigarrow u$ is a state in the behavioral framework

Permutation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{u}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is regular and has index 1

→ general (numerical) procedure derived by [Campbell et al. '12]

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Approaches 1 and 2 do not work!

x_2, x_3 are free $\rightsquigarrow x_2, x_3$ are inputs in the behavioral framework

$u = 0$ $\rightsquigarrow u$ is a state in the behavioral framework

Permutation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{u}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is regular and has index 1}$$

→ general (numerical) procedure derived by [Campbell et al. '12]

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

Approaches 1 and 2 do not work!

x_2, x_3 are free $\rightsquigarrow x_2, x_3$ are inputs in the behavioral framework

$u = 0$ $\rightsquigarrow u$ is a state in the behavioral framework

Permutation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{u}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is regular and has index 1}$$

→ general (numerical) procedure derived by [Campbell et al. '12]

Thm. [B./Reis '15]:

$\exists U(s) \in \mathbf{Gl}_\ell(\mathbb{R}[s])$, $T \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $V \in \mathbf{Gl}_m(\mathbb{R})$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a permutation matrix $P \in \mathbf{Gl}_{n+m}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$U(s)[sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix} P = \begin{bmatrix} s\hat{E} - \hat{A} & -\hat{B} \\ 0_{r,\hat{n}} & 0_{r,\hat{m}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $s\hat{E} - \hat{A} \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\hat{n} \times \hat{n}}$ is regular and has index at most 1

- $[\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ is minimal
- explicit construction of transformation matrices using feedback canonical form [Loiseau et al. '91]
- the subsystem $[\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ is fully determined by the augmented Wong sequences

Thm. [B./Reis '15]:

$\exists U(s) \in \mathbf{Gl}_\ell(\mathbb{R}[s])$, $T \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $V \in \mathbf{Gl}_m(\mathbb{R})$, $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a permutation matrix $P \in \mathbf{Gl}_{n+m}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$U(s)[sE - A, -B] \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ F & V \end{bmatrix} P = \begin{bmatrix} s\hat{E} - \hat{A} & -\hat{B} \\ 0_{r,\hat{n}} & 0_{r,\hat{m}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $s\hat{E} - \hat{A} \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\hat{n} \times \hat{n}}$ is regular and has index at most 1

- $[\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ is minimal
- explicit construction of transformation matrices using feedback canonical form [Loiseau et al. '91]
- the subsystem $[\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ is fully determined by the augmented Wong sequences