

Institute for Mathematics, Paderborn University

FUNNEL CONTROL AND APPLICATIONS

Thomas Berger

June 29, 2022



Research group "Systems theory", Institute for Mathematics

PhD students

- Lukas Lanza: Funnel control of multibody systems
- Dario Dennstädt: Funnel MPC and applications to magnetic levitation systems

Research areas

- o adaptive control under input and output constraints
- o nonlinear systems, multi-agent systems, differential-algebraic systems
- applications: multibody dynamics, electrical circuits, autonomous driving, defibrillation processes of the human heart, spread of epidemics



Control objective



- **Goal:** simple controller, so that "y(t) tracks $y_{ref}(t)$ "
- o only uses y(t), no knowledge of $x(t) \in X$ or system parameters



Control objective



- Goal: simple controller, so that "y(t) tracks $y_{ref}(t)$ "
- only uses y(t), no knowledge of $x(t) \in X$ or system parameters \rightarrow ODEs and PDEs in the same class!





angle: $\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq \mathbf{90}^{\circ}$

spring, damper with nonlinear characteristics: K(s), $D(\dot{s})$

$$u(t) = F$$

$$y(t) = x(t) + s(t) \cos \alpha$$





angle: $\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq \mathbf{90}^{\circ}$

spring, damper with nonlinear characteristics: K(s), $D(\dot{s})$

$$u(t) = F$$

$$y(t) = x(t) + s(t) \cos \alpha$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & m_2 \cos \alpha \\ m_2 \cos \alpha & m_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -\mathcal{K}(s) - \mathcal{D}(\dot{s}) + m_2 g \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$





angle: $\mathbf{0}^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq \mathbf{90}^{\circ}$

spring, damper with nonlinear characteristics: K(s), $D(\dot{s})$

$$u(t) = F$$

$$y(t) = x(t) + s(t) \cos \alpha$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & m_2 \cos \alpha \\ m_2 \cos \alpha & m_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -\mathcal{K}(s) - \mathcal{D}(\dot{s}) + m_2 g \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{y} = \dot{x} + \dot{s} \cos \alpha$$
$$\ddot{y} = -c_1 (K(s) + D(\dot{s}) - m_2 g \sin \alpha) + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha}{m_1 + m_2 \sin^2 \alpha} u$$





$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}^{\circ} &< \alpha \leq \mathbf{90}^{\circ} \\ \ddot{\mathbf{y}} &= f_1(s, \dot{s}) + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha}{m_1 + m_2 \sin^2 \alpha} u \\ \end{aligned}$$
relative degree = 2

















relative degree = 3











$$lpha = 0^\circ, \quad D'(\dot{s}) \neq 0$$

 $y^{(3)} = f_2(s, \dot{s}) + \frac{D'(\dot{s})}{m_1m_2}u$
relative degree = 3

 $\alpha = 0^{\circ}, \quad D'(\dot{s}) = 0, \quad K'(s) \neq 0$ $y^{(4)} = f_3(s, \dot{s}) + \frac{K'(s)}{m_1 m_2} u$

relative degree = 4

Funnel control and applications



Internal dynamics: remaining dynamics when output is fixed

Thomas Berger

6/30

Funnel control and applications



Internal dynamics: remaining dynamics when output is fixed

$$\ddot{\eta} = -c_3 \mathcal{K} \left(\frac{\eta - y \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) - c_3 D \left(\frac{\dot{\eta} - \dot{y} \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) + c_4 g \sin \alpha$$



Internal dynamics: remaining dynamics when output is fixed

$$\ddot{\eta} = -c_3 K \left(\frac{\eta - y \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) - c_3 D \left(\frac{\dot{\eta} - \dot{y} \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) + c_4 g \sin \alpha$$
$$\alpha = 90^\circ, m_2 = 1: \qquad \ddot{s} = -K(s) - D(\dot{s}) + g$$

UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN



Internal dynamics: remaining dynamics when output is fixed

$$\ddot{\eta} = -c_3 K \left(\frac{\eta - y \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) - c_3 D \left(\frac{\dot{\eta} - \dot{y} \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) + c_4 g \sin \alpha$$
$$\alpha = 90^\circ, m_2 = 1: \qquad \ddot{s} = -K(s) - D(\dot{s}) + g$$

• Lyapunov function: kinetic + potential energy • dissipativity: $D(\dot{s}) \dot{s} \ge 0$



Internal dynamics: remaining dynamics when output is fixed

$$\ddot{\eta} = -c_3 K \left(\frac{\eta - y \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) - c_3 D \left(\frac{\dot{\eta} - \dot{y} \cos \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right) + c_4 g \sin \alpha$$
$$\alpha = 90^\circ, m_2 = 1: \qquad \ddot{s} = -K(s) - D(\dot{s}) + g$$

• Lyapunov function: kinetic + potential energy • dissipativity: $D(\dot{s})\dot{s} \ge 0$

 $\implies s, \dot{s} \in L^{\infty}$ (stable internal dynamics)



 $\frac{v}{(F)}$

Funnel control and applications



 $0^\circ < \alpha \le 90^\circ$

stable internal dynamics

$$\alpha = \mathbf{0}^{\circ}, \quad \mathbf{D}'(\dot{\mathbf{s}}) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

stable internal dynamics



.....

$$\alpha = \mathbf{0}^{\circ}, \quad \mathbf{D}'(\dot{\mathbf{s}}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{K}'(\mathbf{s}) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

no internal dynamics





[Seifried, Blajer '13]

Rotational Manipulator Arm

Input: angular velocity of first link

Output: position of S described by angle y

relative degree = 1

unstable internal dynamics



Reminder



- no knowledge of system parameters, only: **known relative degree** and assumption of **stable internal dynamics**
- **Goal:** design simple controller such that "y(t) tracks $y_{ref}(t)$ "



High-gain based adaptive control

assumption: relative degree = 1, stable internal dynamics

classical (non-adaptive) high-gain controller

$$u(t) = -ky(t), \qquad k > 0 \text{ suff. large} \Longrightarrow y(t) \to 0$$

drawbacks: k unnecessary large; restricted to linear systems



High-gain based adaptive control

assumption: relative degree = 1, stable internal dynamics

classical (non-adaptive) high-gain controller

 $u(t) = -ky(t), \qquad k > 0 \text{ suff. large} \Longrightarrow y(t) o 0$

drawbacks: k unnecessary large; restricted to linear systems

adaptive high-gain controller (since 1983)

$$u(t) = -k(t)y(t), \qquad \dot{k}(t) = ||y(t)||^2$$

[Byrnes, Ilchmann, Logemann, Mareels, Mårtensson, Morse, Nussbaum, Owens, Prätzel-Wolters, Willems, ...]

<u>drawbacks</u>: k(t) mon. increasing; restricted to linear systems



adaptive λ -tracker (since 1994)

$$u(t) = -k(t)(\underbrace{y(t) - y_{ref}(t)}_{=:e(t)}),$$

$$\dot{k}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\max\{\|e(t)\| - \lambda, 0\}}{\|e(t)\|}, & e(t) \neq 0, \\ 0, & e(t) = 0 \end{cases}$$



[Allgöwer, Ashman, Bullinger, Ilchmann, Logemann, Ryan, Sangwin, ...]

<u>drawbacks</u>: k(t) mon. increasing; no transient behavior



Funnel control





$$k(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(t) - \|\boldsymbol{e}(t)\|}$$

[Ilchmann, Ryan, Sangwin '02]: Works, if

- o relative degree = 1
- o stable internal dynamics





Problem: higher relative degree

relative degree 1:

$$\dot{y}(t) + cy(t) = u(t) \stackrel{!}{=} -ky(t) \implies$$
 as. stable for $k \gg 0$





Problem: higher relative degree

relative degree 1:

$$\dot{y}(t) + cy(t) = u(t) \stackrel{!}{=} -ky(t) \implies$$
 as. stable for $k \gg 0$

relative degree 2:

$$\ddot{y}(t) + cy(t) = u(t) \stackrel{!}{=} -ky(t) \implies$$
 not as. stable





Problem: higher relative degree

relative degree 1:

$$\dot{y}(t) + cy(t) = u(t) \stackrel{!}{=} -ky(t) \implies$$
 as. stable for $k \gg 0$

relative degree 2:

$$\ddot{y}(t) + cy(t) = u(t) \stackrel{!}{=} -ky(t) \implies$$
 not as. stable

 $\ddot{y}(t) + cy(t) = u(t) \stackrel{!}{=} -k_1y(t) - k_2\dot{y}(t) \implies$ as. stable for $k_1, k_2 \gg 0$



Funnel control for systems with higher relative degree

Funnel control via backstepping: [Ilchmann, Ryan, Townsend '06 & '07] <u>drawbacks</u>: escalating controller complexity for relative degree \geq 2, hence a typically bad controller performance

relative degree = 2: [Hackl, Hopfe, Ilchmann, Müller, Trenn '13] <u>drawbacks</u>: no generalization to arbitrary relative degree

Bang-bang funnel controller: [Liberzon & Trenn '13] <u>drawbacks</u>: restricted to SISO systems, strong compatibility assumptions

"Prescribed-Performance Control": [Bechlioulis & Rovithakis '14] drawbacks: restricted to systems with trivial internal dynamics



Funnel control for systems with arbitrary relative degree [B., Lê, Reis '18] & [B., Ilchmann, Ryan '21]

$$e_{1}(t) = e(t), \qquad e(t) = y(t) - y_{ref}(t),$$

$$e_{2}(t) = \dot{e}(t) + k_{1}(t)e_{1}(t),$$

$$e_{3}(t) = \ddot{e}(t) + k_{2}(t)e_{2}(t),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{r}(t) = e^{(r-1)}(t) + k_{r-1}(t)e_{r-1}(t),$$

$$u(t) = -k_{r}(t)e_{r}(t)$$

$$k_{i}(t) = 1/(\psi_{i}(t) - ||e_{i}(t)||), \quad i = 1, ..., r$$

Thomas Berger

15/30



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $d \in L^{\infty}$, $T: C
ightarrow L^{\infty}_{
m loc}$ causal, locally Lipschitz, BIBO, $f \in C$ with HG property



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $d\in L^\infty$, $T:C
ightarrow L^\infty_{
m loc}$ causal, locally Lipschitz, BIBO, $f\in C$ with HG property

Linear prototype: $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \ y(t) = Cx(t) \text{ with}$ (A1) $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A & B \\ C & O \end{bmatrix} = n + m \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \ge 0;$ (A2) $CB = CAB = \ldots = CA^{r-2}B = O \text{ and } CA^{r-1}B > O$



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $d\in L^\infty$, $T:C o L^\infty_{
m loc}$ causal, locally Lipschitz, BIBO, $f\in C$ with HG property

Linear prototype:

Is equivalent to
$$\dot{z}(t)=\hat{A}z(t)+\hat{B}u(t)$$
 , $y(t)=\hat{C}z(t)$ with



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $d\in L^\infty$, $T:C
ightarrow L^\infty_{
m loc}$ causal, locally Lipschitz, BIBO, $f\in C$ with HG property

Linear prototype:

$$\implies y^{(r)}(t) = T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t) + \Gamma u(t)$$

with $\Gamma = CA^{r-1}B$ and

$$T(y,\ldots,y^{(r-1)})(t)$$

= $R_1y(t) + \ldots R_ry^{(r-1)}(t) + Se^{Qt}\eta(0) + \int_0^t Se^{Q(t-\tau)}Py(\tau)d\tau$



Funnel control for systems with arbitrary relative degree $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

$$e_{1}(t) = e(t), \quad e(t) = y(t) - y_{ref}(t),$$

$$e_{2}(t) = \dot{e}(t) + k_{1}(t)e_{1}(t),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{r}(t) = e^{(r-1)}(t) + k_{r-1}(t)e_{r-1}(t),$$

$$u(t) = -k_{r}(t)e_{r}(t)$$

$$k_{i}(t) = 1/(\psi_{i}(t) - ||e_{i}(t)||), \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

Theorem [B., Lê, Reis '18] & [B., Ilchmann, Ryan '21]

 $\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{ref}} \in \mathbf{W}^{r,\infty} \implies u, k_i, \mathbf{y}^{(i)} \in L^{\infty} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{e}_i(t)\| \leq \psi_i(t) - \varepsilon_i$



Control of multibody systems - jointly with R. Seifried (TU Hamburg, Germany).



[B., Lê, Reis '18]: $u(t) = u_{\rm FC}(t)$



Control of multibody systems - jointly with R. Seifried (TU Hamburg, Germany).



[B., Lê, Reis '18]:
$$u(t) = u_{\rm FC}(t)$$





Control of multibody systems - jointly with R. Seifried (TU Hamburg, Germany).

 $u(t) = u_{\rm FC}(t) + u_{\rm FF}(t)$





[B., Lê, Reis '18]:
$$u(t) = u_{
m FC}(t)$$



[B., Lanza '20]: $u(t) = u_{\rm FC}(t)$ unstable internal dynamics



Control of multibody systems - jointly with R. Seifried (TU Hamburg, Germany).





[B., Lê, Reis '18]: $u(t) = u_{\rm FC}(t)$



[B., Lanza '20]: $u(t) = u_{\rm FC}(t)$ unstable internal dynamics

Thomas Berger

unstable internal dynamics, DAE formulation

18/30





 $\ddot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = T(\mathbf{y}, \dot{\mathbf{y}})(t) + \gamma \, \mathbf{u}(t)$

Thomas Berger

19/30







 $\ddot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = T(\mathbf{y}, \dot{\mathbf{y}})(t) + \gamma \, \mathbf{u}(t)$

 $\ddot{y}(t) = \hat{T}(y, \dot{y})(t) + \hat{\gamma} u(t)$ [B., Puche, Schwenninger '22]







 $\ddot{y}(t) = T(y, \dot{y})(t) + \gamma u(t) \qquad \qquad \ddot{y}(t) = \hat{T}(y, \dot{y})(t) + \hat{\gamma} u(t)$ [B., Puche, Schwenninger '22]

Finite and infinite dimensional systems in the same class!



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

allows for a "simple" class of $\infty\text{-dimensional systems}$ \rightarrow internal dynamics described by PDE



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

allows for a "simple" class of $\infty\text{-dimensional systems}$ \rightarrow internal dynamics described by PDE

r = 1: [Ilchmann, Ryan, Sangwin '02, etc.]

$$(Ty)(t) = A_1y(t) + A_2 \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-s)A_3y(s) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

- $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ exp. stable C^{0} -semigroup on real Hilbert space X with generator $A_{4} : \mathcal{D}(A_{4}) \subseteq X \to X$ (finite dimensional: $\mathcal{T}(t) = e^{A_{4}t}$)
- \circ (A_4, A_3, A_2) "regular well-posed", $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes m}$



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $r \in \mathbb{N}$: [Ilchmann, Selig, Trunk '16] Byrnes-Isidori form for linear ∞ -dimensional systems

$$\begin{split} \dot{\eta}(t) &= \mathsf{A}_4 \eta(t) + \mathsf{A}_3 \mathsf{y}(t), \\ \mathsf{y}^{(r)}(t) &= \mathsf{R}_1 \mathsf{y}(t) + \ldots \mathsf{R}_r \mathsf{y}^{(r-1)}(t) + \mathsf{A}_2 \eta(t) + \gamma \mathsf{u}(t) \end{split}$$



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $r \in \mathbb{N}$: [Ilchmann, Selig, Trunk '16] Byrnes-Isidori form for linear ∞ -dimensional systems

$$\begin{split} \dot{\eta}(t) &= A_4 \eta(t) + A_3 y(t), \\ y^{(r)}(t) &= R_1 y(t) + \dots R_r y^{(r-1)}(t) + A_2 \eta(t) + \gamma u(t) \\ &= T(y, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t) + \gamma u(t) \end{split}$$

with
$$T(y, ..., y^{(r-1)})(t)$$

= $R_1 y(t) + ... R_r y^{(r-1)}(t) + A_2 \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-s) A_3 y(s) ds$



$$y^{(r)}(t) = f(d(t), T(y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(r-1)})(t), u(t))$$

 $r \in \mathbb{N}$: [B., Puche, Schwenninger '20]

• (A, B, C) reg- $\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(r-1)} \end{pmatrix} = \zeta$ $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + B\zeta(t), \ x(O) = x^{O}$ ular well-posed • $f \mapsto$ х X $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(G) * f$ bounded ĩ С S • S nonlinear, $\mathcal{D}(S) = X$, $S(x) = z_2$ (A, B, S) BIBO $Cx = z_3$ stable $\tilde{T}(\zeta) = z_1$ $T(\zeta)$ $F(z_1, z_2, z_3)$









Linearized model:

$$\partial_t z_1 = -h_0 \partial_\zeta z_2, \qquad \partial_t z_2 = -g \partial_\zeta z_1 - \mu z_2 - \ddot{y}, \qquad z_2(t,0) = z_2(t,1) = 0$$

$$\begin{split} \ddot{y}(t) &= \frac{g}{2m_T} \left(z_1(t,1) - z_1(t,0) \right) \left(2h_0 + z_1(t,1) + z_1(t,0) \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mu h_0}{m_T} \int_0^1 z_2(t,\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta \, + \frac{\mu}{m_T} \int_0^1 z_1(t,\zeta) z_2(t,\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta \, + \frac{u(t)}{m_T} \end{split}$$







Linearized model:

$$\dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + Ab\dot{y}(t), \quad Az = -\begin{pmatrix} h_0\partial_{\zeta}z_2\\ g\partial_{\zeta}z_1 + \mu z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(t,1) - z_1(t,0) &= Cz(t) = C\mathcal{T}(t)z(0) + C \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-s)Ab\dot{y}(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= c(t) + \left((\mathfrak{h}_{L^1} + \mathfrak{h}_{\delta}) * \dot{y} \right)(t) \end{aligned}$$





Linearized model:

$$\dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + Ab\dot{y}(t), \quad Az = -\begin{pmatrix} h_0 \partial_{\zeta} z_2 \\ g \partial_{\zeta} z_1 + \mu z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\ddot{y}(t) = T(\dot{y})(t) + \frac{u(t)}{m_T}$$



Simulation



Thomas Berger

24/30



"Hard" ∞ -dimensional systems – there is NO concept of relative degree

o boundary controlled heat equation [Reis, Selig '15]

$$\partial_t x(t) = \Delta x(t),$$
 $u(t) = (\nu^\top \cdot \nabla x(t))|_{\partial\Omega},$
 $y(t) = \int_{\partial\Omega} x(t)(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta$

 general class of boundary control problems based on m-dissipative operators [Puche, Reis, Schwenninger '21, Puche '19]

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= \mathfrak{A}x(t), \qquad x(\mathsf{O}) = x_\mathsf{O} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq X, \\ u(t) &= \mathfrak{B}x(t), \qquad y(t) = \mathfrak{C}x(t) \end{split}$$

e.g. lossy transmission line, wave equation, diffusion equation ○ Fokker-Planck equation [B. '21] → *video clip*



Monodomain equations [B., Breiten, Puche, Reis '21] – (simple) model for the electric activity of the human heart to describe defibrillation processes

$$\partial_t v(t) = \nabla \cdot (D\nabla v(t)) + p_3(v)(t) - w(t) + I_{s,i}(t) + BI_{s,e}(t),$$

$$\partial_t w(t) = cv(t) - dw(t), \quad y(t) = B'v(t)$$



Monodomain equations [B., Breiten, Puche, Reis '21] – (simple) model for the electric activity of the human heart to describe defibrillation processes

$$\partial_t v(t) = \nabla \cdot (D\nabla v(t)) + \rho_3(v)(t) - w(t) + I_{s,i}(t) + BI_{s,e}(t),$$

$$\partial_t w(t) = cv(t) - dw(t), \quad y(t) = B'v(t)$$

Control objective: "reentry waves", which can be interpreted as fibrillation processes, should be terminated





26/30



Recent research: Funnel MPC [B., Kästner, Worthmann '20]

OCP:
$$\min_{u \in L^{\infty}([\widehat{t},\widehat{t}+T],\mathbb{R}^m)} \int_{\widehat{t}}^{\widehat{t}+T} \ell(t,x(t),u(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t$$
subject to $\dot{x}(t) = f(t,x(t),u(t)),$
 $x(\widehat{t}) = \widehat{x}, \quad \|u\|_{\infty} \leq N$



Recent research: Funnel MPC [B., Kästner, Worthmann '20]

OCP:
$$\min_{u \in L^{\infty}([\widehat{t},\widehat{t}+T],\mathbb{R}^m)} \int_{\widehat{t}}^{\widehat{t}+T} \ell(t,x(t),u(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t$$
subject to $\dot{x}(t) = f(t,x(t),u(t)),$
 $x(\widehat{t}) = \widehat{x}, \quad \|u\|_{\infty} \leq N$

Idea:

$$\ell(t, x, u) = \|h(x) - y_{\text{ref}}(t)\|^2 + \lambda \|u\|^2$$
$$\longrightarrow \quad \ell(t, x, u) = \frac{1}{\psi(t) - \|h(x) - y_{\text{ref}}(t)\|} + \lambda \|u\|^2$$



Recent research: Funnel MPC

Funnel MPC Algorithm

Choose time shift $\delta > 0$, prediction horizon $T \ge \delta$, initialize $\hat{t} := t_0$

- 1. Obtain a measurement of the state at \hat{t} , set $\hat{x} = x(\hat{t})$
- 2. Compute a solution $u^* \in L^\infty([\hat{t},\hat{t}+T],\mathbb{R}^m)$ of the OCP
- 3. Apply the feedback law $\mu(t,\hat{x})=u^*(t), t\in [\hat{t},\hat{t}+\delta)$
- 4. Set $\hat{t} := \hat{t} + \delta$ and go to 1.



Recent research: Funnel MPC

Theorem [B., Dennstädt, Ilchmann, Worthmann '22]

relative degree = 1, stable internal dynamics $\implies \exists M > 0$: Funnel MPC is initially and recursively feasible such that $||u(t)|| \le M$ and $||e(t)|| \le \psi(t)$



Recent research: Funnel MPC

Theorem [B., Dennstädt, Ilchmann, Worthmann '22]

relative degree = 1, stable internal dynamics $\implies \exists M > 0$: Funnel MPC is initially and recursively feasible such that $||u(t)|| \le M$ and $||e(t)|| \le \psi(t)$

- 1. no terminal costs or conditions required
- 2. no additional state constraints in the OCP
- 3. independent of the length of the prediction horizon T > 0



Recent research: Funnel MPC

Future goals:

- extension to arbitrary relative degree (first results in [B., Dennstädt '22], however requiring feasibility constraints in the OCP)
- o extend control scheme so that robustness is inherited from funnel control
- combine with machine learning for continuous model adaptation and improvement of controller performance