

# HERMITIAN RANK DISTANCE CODES

KAI-UWE SCHMIDT

ABSTRACT. Let  $X = X(n, q)$  be the set of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . It is well known that  $X$  gives rise to a metric translation association scheme whose classes are induced by the rank metric. We study  $d$ -codes in this scheme, namely subsets  $Y$  of  $X$  with the property that, for all distinct  $A, B \in Y$ , the rank of  $A - B$  is at least  $d$ . We prove bounds on the size of a  $d$ -code and show that, under certain conditions, the inner distribution of a  $d$ -code is determined by its parameters. Except if  $n$  and  $d$  are both even and  $4 \leq d \leq n - 2$ , constructions of  $d$ -codes are given, which are optimal among the  $d$ -codes that are subgroups of  $(X, +)$ . This work complements results previously obtained for several other types of matrices over finite fields.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a set of matrices over a finite field with the same number of rows and columns. Given an integer  $d$ , we consider subsets  $Y$  of  $X$  with the property that, for all distinct  $A, B \in Y$ , the rank of  $A - B$  is at least  $d$ . We call such a set a  $d$ -code in  $X$ . For fixed  $d$ , one is usually interested in  $d$ -codes containing as many elements as possible. Instances of this problem have been considered when  $X$  is the set of unrestricted matrices [6], alternating matrices [7], and symmetric matrices [18], [19]. In all these cases, association schemes have been used critically to establish combinatorial properties of  $d$ -codes. In particular, bounds on the size of  $d$ -codes were obtained, which are often attained by constructions. Such results have found several applications in other branches of coding theory.

In this paper, we consider the case that  $X = X(n, q)$  is the set of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $q^2$  elements. Here,  $q$  is a prime power and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  is equipped with the involution  $x \mapsto x^q$ . We use the association scheme of Hermitian matrices to prove that every  $d$ -code  $Y$  that is an additive subgroup of  $(X, +)$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad |Y| \leq q^{n(n-d+1)}.$$

In the case that  $d$  is odd, we prove that the bound (1) also holds for  $d$ -codes that are not necessarily subgroups of  $(X, +)$  and that, in case of equality in (1), the inner distribution of  $Y$  is uniquely determined. In the case that  $d$

---

*Date:* 07 March 2017 (revised 17 August 2017).

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E15, 05E30.

*Key words and phrases.* Association scheme, Code, Hermitian matrices, Rank.

is even, we show by example that the bound (1) can be surpassed by  $d$ -codes not having the subgroup property and prove a larger bound that also holds for  $d$ -codes that are not necessarily subgroups of  $(X, +)$ . We also provide constructions of  $d$ -codes that are subgroups of  $(X, +)$  and satisfy the bound (1) with equality for all possible  $n$  and  $d$ , except if  $n$  and  $d$  are both even and  $3 < d < n$ .

It should be noted that related, but different, rank properties of sets of Hermitian matrices have been studied in [9] and [11].

## 2. THE ASSOCIATION SCHEME OF HERMITIAN MATRICES

A (symmetric) *association scheme* with  $n$  classes is a finite set  $X$  together with  $n + 1$  nonempty relations  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n$  that partition  $X \times X$  and satisfy:

- (A1)  $R_0$  is the identity relation;
- (A2) each of the relations is symmetric;
- (A3) if  $(x, y) \in R_k$ , then the number of  $z \in X$  such that  $(x, z) \in R_i$  and  $(z, y) \in R_j$  is a constant  $p_{ij}^k$  depending only on  $i, j$ , and  $k$ , but not on the particular choice of  $x$  and  $y$ .

For background on association schemes and connections to coding theory we refer to [5], [8], and [16] and to [15, Chapter 21] and [14, Chapter 30] for gentle introductions.

Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $\bar{x} = x^q$  be the conjugate of  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . For a matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , write  $A^*$  for the matrix obtained from  $A$  by conjugation of each entry and transposition. An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  is *Hermitian* if  $A^* = A$ . Let  $X = X(n, q)$  denote the set of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Then  $X$  is an  $n^2$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

It is well known [2, Section 9.5] that  $X$  gives rise to an association scheme with  $n$  classes whose relations are given by

$$(A, B) \in R_i \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(A - B) = i.$$

Alternatively these relations arise as orbits of a group action. Let  $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \rtimes X$  be the semidirect product of the general linear group  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  and  $X$ , so that  $G$  acts transitively on  $X$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} G \times X &\rightarrow X \\ ((T, D), A) &\mapsto TAT^* + D. \end{aligned}$$

The action of  $G$  extends to  $X \times X$  componentwise and so partitions  $X \times X$  into orbits, which are the relations defined above (see [24, Chapter 6], for example).

The relations just defined are invariant under the translation  $(A, B) \mapsto (A + C, B + C)$ , which is the defining property of a *translation scheme*. We shall make heavy use of the eigenvalues of this translation scheme, which are determined by the characters of  $(X, +)$  [8, Section V]. Let  $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

be a nontrivial character of  $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$  and, for  $A, B \in X$ , write

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \chi(\text{tr}(A^*B)),$$

where  $\text{tr}$  is the matrix trace. For all  $A, A', B \in X$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \langle A + A', B \rangle = \langle A, B \rangle \langle A', B \rangle.$$

Indeed, it is readily verified that the mapping  $A \mapsto \langle A, B \rangle$  ranges through all characters of  $(X, +)$  as  $B$  ranges over  $X$ . Let  $X_i$  be the subset of  $X$  containing all matrices of rank  $i$ . For  $i, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , the numbers

$$(3) \quad Q_k(i) = \sum_{A \in X_k} \langle A, B \rangle \quad \text{for } B \in X_i$$

are independent of the choice of  $B$  and are the *eigenvalues* of the association scheme defined above (see [8, Section V] for details). For odd  $q$ , these numbers have been determined by Carlitz and Hodges [3] and also by Stanton [21]. We shall require the eigenvalues in the following form

$$(4) \quad Q_k(i) = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j \end{bmatrix} (-q)^{\binom{k-j}{2} + nj},$$

where, for integral  $m$  and  $\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} ((-q)^{m-i+1} - 1) / ((-q)^i - 1).$$

is the *negative  $q$ -binomial coefficient*. A simple proof of the formula (4) for odd and even  $q$  is given in the appendix.

Equivalently, the eigenvalues are given by the  $n+1$  equations

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ n-j \end{bmatrix} Q_k(i) = (-1)^{(n+1)j} q^{nj} \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , which can be proved using the inversion formula

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=i}^k (-1)^{j-i} (-q)^{\binom{j-i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{k,i}$$

(see [7, (10)], for example), where  $\delta_{k,i}$  is the Kronecker  $\delta$ -function.

### 3. COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF SUBSETS OF $X(n, q)$

Let  $Y$  be a nonempty subset of  $X = X(n, q)$ . The *inner distribution* of  $Y$  is the tuple  $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$  of rational numbers, which are given by

$$A_i = \frac{|(Y \times Y) \cap R_i|}{|Y|}.$$

In other words,  $A_i$  is the average number of pairs in  $Y \times Y$  whose difference has rank  $i$ . Note that we always have  $A_0 = 1$ . The *dual inner distribution* of  $Y$  is the tuple  $(A'_0, A'_1, \dots, A'_n)$ , whose entries are given by

$$(7) \quad A'_k = \sum_{i=0}^n Q_k(i) A_i.$$

Then  $A'_0 = |Y|$  and, as a consequence of a general property of association schemes (see [8, Theorem 3], for example), we have

$$(8) \quad A'_k \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Given an integer  $d$  satisfying  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , we say that  $Y$  is a *d-code* if  $A_1 = \dots = A_{d-1} = 0$ . Equivalently,  $Y$  is a *d-code* if  $\text{rank}(A - B) \geq d$  for all distinct  $A, B \in Y$ . We say that  $Y$  is a *t-design* if  $A'_1 = \dots = A'_t = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $Y$  is a subgroup of  $(X, +)$ . In this case, we say that  $Y$  is *additive*. It is readily verified that, if  $Y$  has inner distribution  $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ , then  $A_i$  counts the number of matrices in  $Y$  of rank  $i$ . We can associate with  $Y$  its *dual*

$$Y^\perp = \{B \in X : \langle A, B \rangle = 1 \text{ for each } A \in Y\},$$

which is also additive and satisfies

$$|Y| |Y^\perp| = |X|.$$

It follows from a well known property of association schemes (see [8, Theorem 27], for example) that, if  $Y$  has dual inner distribution  $(A'_0, A'_1, \dots, A'_n)$ , then the tuple

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} (A'_0, A'_1, \dots, A'_n)$$

is the inner distribution of  $Y^\perp$ . This implies in particular that the entries in the dual inner distribution of an additive set  $Y$  are divisible by  $|Y|$ .

We use this fact and the property (8) to prove bounds on the size of *d-codes*.

**Theorem 1.** *Every additive d-code  $Y$  in  $X(n, q)$  satisfies*

$$|Y| \leq q^{n(n-d+1)}.$$

*Moreover, if  $d$  is odd, then this bound also holds for arbitrary d-codes  $Y$  in  $X(n, q)$  and equality holds if and only if  $Y$  is an  $(n - d + 1)$ -design.*

*Proof.* Let  $(A_0, \dots, A_n)$  and  $(A'_0, \dots, A'_n)$  be the inner distribution and the dual inner distribution of  $Y$ , respectively. Use (7) and (5) to obtain, for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ n-j \end{bmatrix} A'_k &= \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \sum_{k=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ n-j \end{bmatrix} Q_k(i) \\ &= (-1)^{(n+1)j} q^{nj} \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $j = n - d + 1$  and use  $A_0 = 1$  and  $A_1 = \dots = A_{d-1} = 0$  and the fact that  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ \ell \end{bmatrix} = 0$  for  $m < \ell$  to find that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-d+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} A'_k = (-1)^{(n+1)(n-d+1)} q^{n(n-d+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $Y$  is additive, then the left-hand side is divisible by  $|Y|$ , hence the right-hand side is divisible by  $Y$ . Let  $p$  be the prime dividing  $q$ . If  $Y$  is additive, then  $|Y|$  is a power of  $p$ . It is readily verified that  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}$  is not divisible by  $p$ , which implies that  $|Y|$  divides  $q^{n(n-d+1)}$ , proving the bound for additive codes.

Now let  $d$  be odd. Note that the sign of  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}$  equals  $(-1)^{\ell(m-\ell)}$ . Hence, since  $d$  is odd, the binomial coefficients in the sum on the left-hand side of (9) are nonnegative. Since the numbers  $A'_k$  are also nonnegative by (8) and  $A'_0 = |Y|$ , we find from (9) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} |Y| \leq (-1)^{(n+1)(n-d+1)} q^{n(n-d+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which gives the bound for general  $d$ -codes in the case that  $d$  is odd. Finally, equality occurs if and only if  $A'_1 = \dots = A'_{n-d+1} = 0$  in (9), which is equivalent to  $Y$  being an  $(n-d+1)$ -design.  $\square$

For even  $d$ , the bound given in Theorem 1 cannot hold in general for arbitrary  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$ . For example, Theorem 1 asserts that the largest additive  $n$ -code in  $X(n, q)$  has size  $q^n$ , whereas there exist  $n$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  of size  $q^n + 1$ . This will be shown in Theorem 6.

The best bound we could prove for  $d$ -codes when  $d$  is even is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *For even  $d$ , every  $d$ -code  $Y$  in  $X(n, q)$  satisfies*

$$|Y| \leq (-1)^{n+1} q^{n(n-d+1)} \frac{((-q)^{n-d+2} - 1) + (-q)^n((-q)^{n-d+1} - 1)}{(-q)^{n-d+2} - (-q)^{n-d+1}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(A_0, \dots, A_n)$  and  $(A'_0, \dots, A'_n)$  be the inner distribution and the dual inner distribution of  $Y$ , respectively. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ n-j \end{bmatrix} A'_k = (-1)^{(n+1)j} q^{nj} \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Apply this identity with  $j = n - d + 1$  and  $j = n - d + 2$  to obtain, as in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-d+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} A'_k = (-1)^{(n+1)(n-d+1)} q^{n(n-d+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-d+2} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} A'_k = (-1)^{(n+1)(n-d+2)} q^{n(n-d+2)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that we can extend the summation range in the first identity up to  $n-d+2$  without changing the value of the sum. Therefore, writing

$$u_k = \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_k = \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and using that  $d$  is even, we find that

$$(10) \quad (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-d+2} (u_k - v_k) A'_k \\ = q^{n(n-d+1)} \left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} + (-q)^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Next we show that the summands on the left-hand side are nonnegative. Since the sign of  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}$  is  $(-1)^{\ell(m-\ell)}$ , we find that  $\text{sign}(u_k) = (-1)^{n-k+1}$  and  $\text{sign}(v_k) = (-1)^n$ . Therefore the left-hand side of (10) equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-d+2} ((-1)^k |u_k| + |v_k|) A'_k.$$

We have

$$\frac{u_k}{v_k} = \frac{(-q)^{n-k-d+2} - 1}{(-q)^{n-d+1} - 1},$$

from which we find that  $|u_k| \leq |v_k|$  for each  $k \geq 1$ . Hence the left-hand side of (10) can be bounded from below by

$$(-1)^{n+1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \right) A'_0.$$

Since this expression is positive and  $A'_0 = |Y|$ , we obtain

$$|Y| \leq (-1)^{n+1} q^{n(n-d+1)} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} + (-q)^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d-2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}},$$

from which the desired bound can be obtained after elementary manipulations.  $\square$

For example, for  $d = n = 2$ , the bound of Theorem 2 is

$$|Y| \leq q^3 - q^2 + q.$$

It is known that this bound is not tight; the largest 2-code in  $X(2, q)$  has size 5, 16, 24, 47 for  $q$  equal to 2, 3, 4, 5, respectively [20]. In these cases,

the optimal codes have been classified in [20]. For  $q = 2$ , the unique optimal construction arises as a special case of Theorem 6.

However, it is conjectured that Theorem 2 gives the optimal solution to the linear program, whose objective is to maximise

$$|Y| = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i,$$

subject to the nonnegativity of the numbers  $A_i$  and  $A'_k$  attached to  $Y$ . This has been checked with a computer for many small values of  $n$  and  $q$ .

It is well known [11, Lemma 1] that there exists an  $n$ -code in  $X(n, q)$  of size  $N$  if and only if there exists a partial spread in the Hermitian polar space  $H(2n - 1, q^2)$  of size  $N + 1$ . We can therefore obtain bounds for  $n$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  from bounds for partial spreads in  $H(2n - 1, q^2)$  and vice versa. For example, Theorem 1 implies that, for odd  $n$ , a partial spread in  $H(2n - 1, q^2)$  contains at most  $q^n + 1$  elements. This gives another proof of a theorem due to Vanhove [22], [23]. In the other direction, from a result due to De Beule, Klein, Metsch, and Storme [1] we obtain

$$|Y| \leq \frac{q(q^2 + 1)}{2}$$

for every 2-code  $Y$  in  $X(2, q)$ . This bound is tight for  $q \in \{2, 3\}$ . From a result due to Ihringer [12] we have

$$|Y| \leq \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q + 1}$$

for every  $n$ -code in  $X(n, q)$ , which can be proved more directly using [12, Corollary 3.2] together with the explicit knowledge of the eigenvalues (4), in particular (14) and (15) for  $k = 1$ . This bound is slightly better than the corresponding bound  $|Y| \leq q^{2n-1} - q^n + q^{n-1}$  of Theorem 2. Some improved bounds for  $n$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  in the case that  $q$  is not a prime can be obtained from [13].

Our final result of this section gives the inner distribution of a  $d$ -code, provided that it is also an  $(n - d)$ -design.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $Y$  is a  $d$ -code and an  $(n - d)$ -design in  $X(n, q)$ , then its inner distribution  $(A_i)$  satisfies*

$$A_{n-i} = \sum_{j=i}^{n-d} (-1)^{j-i} (-q)^{\binom{j-i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{|Y|}{q^{nj}} (-1)^{(n+1)j} - 1 \right)$$

for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(A_0, \dots, A_n)$  and  $(A'_0, \dots, A'_n)$  be the inner distribution and the dual inner distribution of  $Y$ , respectively. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we

have for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ n-j \end{bmatrix} A'_k = (-1)^{(n+1)j} q^{nj} \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $Y$  is a  $d$ -code and an  $(n-d)$ -design, we find that, for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-d\}$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{|Y|}{q^{nj}} (-1)^{(n+1)j} - 1 \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-d} A_{n-i} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proof is completed by applying the inversion formula (6).  $\square$

Call a  $d$ -code  $Y$  in  $X(n, q)$  *maximal additive* if  $Y$  is additive and

$$|Y| = q^{n(n-d+1)},$$

so that  $Y$  meets the bound of Theorem 1 with equality. If  $d$  is odd, then  $Y$  is an  $(n-d+1)$ -design by Theorem 1, and so Theorem 3 implies that the inner distribution of  $Y$  is uniquely determined by its parameters. The situation is different for even  $d$ . It was checked with a computer that there are exactly four different inner distributions of maximal additive 2-codes in  $X(3, 2)$  and at least three different inner distributions of maximal additive 2-codes in  $X(4, 2)$ . The four possibilities for the inner distribution  $(A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3)$  of a maximal additive 2-code in  $X(3, 2)$  are

$$(1, 0, 21, 42), \quad (1, 0, 29, 34), \quad (1, 0, 37, 26), \quad (1, 0, 45, 18).$$

#### 4. CONSTRUCTIONS

Recall from the previous section that a maximal additive  $d$ -code in  $X(n, q)$  is a  $d$ -code that meets the bound of Theorem 1 with equality. In this section, we provide constructions of maximal additive  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  for all possible values of  $d$ , except when  $n$  and  $d$  are both even and  $4 \leq d \leq n-2$ .

We shall work with Hermitian forms rather than with matrices. Let  $V = V(n, q^2)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Recall that a *Hermitian form* on  $V$  is a mapping

$$H : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$$

that is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -linear in the first coordinate and satisfies  $H(y, x) = \overline{H(x, y)}$  for all  $x, y \in V$ . The (*left*) *radical* of a Hermitian form  $H$  on  $V$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -vector space

$$\text{rad}(H) = \{x \in V : H(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in V\}$$

and its *rank* is  $n - \dim \text{rad}(H)$ . Fixing a basis  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  for  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , we can identify a Hermitian form  $H$  on  $V$  with the  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix

$$(H_{ij} = H(\xi_i, \xi_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

It is readily verified that the rank of this matrix equals the rank of the Hermitian form  $H$ . In fact this gives a one-to-one correspondence between  $X(n, q)$  and Hermitian forms on  $V$ .



We shall identify the vector space  $V(n, q^2)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$  and use the relative trace function  $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , given by

$$\text{Tr}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{q^{2k}}.$$

It is easy to check that this trace function is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -linear and satisfies  $\text{Tr}(x)^q = \text{Tr}(x^q)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ .

The following theorem contains a construction for maximal additive  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  when  $n - d$  is odd.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $n$  and  $d$  be integers of opposite parity satisfying  $1 \leq d \leq n - 1$ . Then, as  $a_1, \dots, a_{(n-d+1)/2}$  range over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ , the mappings*

$$H : \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$$

$$H(x, y) = \text{Tr} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{(n-d+1)/2} (a_j x y^{q^{2j-1}} + (a_j)^q x^{q^{2j}} y^q) \right)$$

form an additive  $d$ -code in  $X(n, q)$  of size  $q^{n(n-d+1)}$ .

*Proof.* It is readily verified that the mappings  $H$  are Hermitian and that the linearity of the trace function implies that the set under consideration is additive. It is therefore enough to show that  $H$  has rank at least  $d$  unless  $a_1 = \dots = a_{(n-d+1)/2} = 0$ .

We may write

$$H(x, y) = \text{Tr}(y^q L(x)),$$

where  $L$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ , given by

$$L(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{(n-d+1)/2} ((a_j x)^{q^{2n-2j+2}} + (a_j)^q x^{q^{2j}}).$$

We have

$$L(x^{q^{n-d-1}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{(n-d+1)/2} ((a_j)^{q^{2n-2j+2}} x^{q^{n-d-2j+1}} + (a_j)^q x^{q^{n-d+2j-1}}).$$

If not all of  $a_j$ 's are zero, then this is a polynomial of degree at most  $q^{2(n-d)}$  and so has at most  $q^{2(n-d)}$  zeros. Now notice that

$$\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$$

$$(u, v) \mapsto \text{Tr}(uv)$$

is a nondegenerate bilinear form. Therefore, since the kernel of a nonzero  $L$  on  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$  has dimension at most  $n-d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , the radical of the corresponding Hermitian form also has dimension at most  $n-d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Therefore,  $H$  has rank at least  $d$  unless  $a_1 = \dots = a_{(n-d+1)/2} = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

The following theorem contains a construction for  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  when  $n$  and  $d$  are both odd.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $n$  and  $d$  be odd integers satisfying  $1 \leq d \leq n$ . Then, as  $a_0$  ranges over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_{(n-d)/2}$  range over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ , the mappings*

$$H : \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$$

$$H(x, y) = \text{Tr} \left( a_0 x y^{q^n} + \sum_{j=1}^{(n-d)/2} (a_j x y^{q^{n-2j}} + (a_j)^q x^{q^{n-2j+1}} y^q) \right)$$

form an additive  $d$ -code in  $X(n, q)$  of size  $q^{n(n-d+1)}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4, and so only a sketch is included. We may write

$$H(x, y) = \text{Tr}(y^q L(x)),$$

where  $L$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ , given by

$$L(x) = (a_0 x)^{q^{n+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{(n-d)/2} ((a_j x)^{q^{n+2j+1}} + (a_j)^q x^{q^{n-2j+1}}).$$

If not all of the  $a_j$ 's are zero, then  $L(x^{q^{2n-d-1}})$  is induced by a polynomial of degree at most  $q^{2(n-d)}$  and therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 4, we find that  $H$  has rank at least  $d$  unless  $a_0 = \dots = a_{(n-d)/2} = 0$ .  $\square$

Theorems 4 and 5 give constructions of maximal additive  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  for every possible  $n$  and  $d$  except when both  $n$  and  $d$  are even. Constructions of maximal additive  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  are easy to obtain for  $d = 2$  and for  $d = n$ , independently of whether  $n$  is even or odd. For  $d = n$ , we can take an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space of  $q^n$  symmetric matrices of size  $n \times n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with the property that every nonzero matrix in this space is nonsingular. Constructions of such sets are well known (see [10] or [19], for example). Another construction of maximal additive  $n$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  was given in [9]. For  $d = 2$ , we can take all matrices in  $X(n, q)$  whose main diagonal contains only zeros [20, Theorem 6.1]. However, it is currently an open problem how to construct (if they exist) maximal additive  $d$ -codes in  $X(n, q)$  when  $n$  and  $d$  are even integers satisfying  $4 \leq d \leq n - 2$ .

We close this section by showing that the bound for additive codes in Theorem 1 can be surpassed by non-additive codes whenever  $n$  is even and  $d = n$ . This follows already from [11, Theorem 9]. Here we give a more direct construction. The main ingredient is a set  $Z$  of  $m \times m$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with the property that  $|Z| = q^m$  and  $A - B$  is nonsingular for all distinct  $A, B \in Z$ . Such objects are equivalent to finite quasifields [4] and several constructions are known (see [6] for a canonical construction corresponding to finite fields).

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $n$  be an even positive integer and let  $Z$  be a set of  $q^n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  of size  $n/2 \times n/2$  with the property that  $A - B$  is nonsingular for all distinct  $A, B \in Z$ . Let*

$$Y = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I & A^* \\ A & AA^* \end{pmatrix} : A \in Z \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where  $O$  and  $I$  are the zero and identity matrices of size  $n/2 \times n/2$ , respectively. Then  $Y$  is an  $n$ -code in  $X(n, q)$  of size  $q^n + 1$ .

*Proof.* By the assumed properties of  $Z$ , it is plain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} O & A^* - B^* \\ A - B & AA^* - BB^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is nonsingular for all distinct  $A, B \in Z$ . Moreover, for each  $n/2 \times n/2$  matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ -A & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & A^* \\ A & AA^* - I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & A^* \\ O & -I \end{pmatrix},$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. COMPUTATION OF THE EIGENVALUES

We now derive the explicit expressions (4) for the numbers  $Q_k(i)$ . We begin with the following lemma, which gives a recurrence formula for the eigenvalues. Write  $Q_k^{(n)}(i)$  for  $Q_k(i)$  and  $X_i(n)$  for  $X_i$  to indicate dependence on  $n$ .

**Lemma 7.** *For  $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ , we have*

$$Q_k^{(n)}(i) = Q_k^{(n)}(i-1) + (-q)^{2n-i} Q_{k-1}^{(n-1)}(i-1).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$Q_k(i) = \sum_{A \in X_k(n)} \langle A, S \rangle,$$

where  $S$  is an arbitrary element of  $X_i(n)$ . Take  $S \in X_i(n)$  to be the diagonal matrix with diagonal  $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and let  $S' \in X_{i-1}(n-1)$  be the diagonal matrix with diagonal  $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . For an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix  $A$ , we write

$$(11) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & v^* \\ v & B \end{pmatrix},$$

so that  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $v \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n-1}$ , and  $B$  is Hermitian of size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ . Then

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_k^{(n)}(i-1) - Q_k^{(n)}(i) &= \sum_{A \in X_k(n)} (\langle B, S' \rangle - \langle A, S \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{A \in X_k(n)} (\langle B, S' \rangle (1 - \chi(a))). \end{aligned}$$

If  $a = 0$ , then the summand is zero. Thus, to evaluate the sum, we may assume that  $A$  is such that  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . For  $A$  of the form (11), write

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -a^{-1}v & I \end{pmatrix}$$

(where  $I$  is the identity matrix of size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ ). Then  $L$  is nonsingular and

$$LAL^* = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } C = B - a^{-1}vv^*.$$

If  $A$  has rank  $k$ , then  $C$  has rank  $k-1$  since  $a$  is nonzero. Hence we find from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k^{(n)}(i-1) - Q_k^{(n)}(i) &= \sum_{C \in X_{k-1}(n-1)} \sum_{v \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n-1}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \langle C + a^{-1}vv^*, S' \rangle (1 - \chi(a)) \\ (13) \quad &= \sum_{C \in X_{k-1}(n-1)} \langle C, S' \rangle \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (1 - \chi(a)) \sum_{v \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n-1}} \langle a^{-1}vv^*, S' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

using the homomorphism property (2). We have

$$\sum_{C \in X_{k-1}(n-1)} \langle C, S' \rangle = Q_{k-1}^{(n-1)}(i-1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^2})^{n-1}} \langle a^{-1}vv^*, S' \rangle &= q^{2n-2i} \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \chi(a^{-1}(v_1\bar{v}_1 + \dots + v_{i-1}\bar{v}_{i-1})) \\ &= q^{2n-2i} (-q)^{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

since, for an arbitrary nontrivial character  $\psi$  of  $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$ , we have

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \psi(v\bar{v}) = 1 + (q+1) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \psi(v) = -q.$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (1 - \chi(a)) = q.$$

Substitute everything into (13) to find that

$$Q_k^{(n)}(i-1) - Q_k^{(n)}(i) = q^{2n-2i+1} (-q)^{i-1} Q_{k-1}^{(n-1)}(i-1),$$

as required.  $\square$

To obtain the explicit expression (4) for the eigenvalues, we use the recurrence of Lemma 7 together with the initial values

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_0(i) &= 1, \\ Q_k(0) &= |X_k|, \end{aligned}$$

which follow directly from (3). It is well known (see, for example, [3] or [21] for odd  $q$  and [17] for the general case) that

$$(15) \quad |X_k| = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} ((-q)^n + (-q)^j).$$

We first verify that (4) gives the correct expressions for  $Q_0(i)$  and  $Q_k(0)$ . The expression for  $Q_0(i)$  holds trivially. Apply the following version of the  $q$ -binomial theorem

$$\sum_{j=0}^h (-q)^{\binom{h-j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ j \end{bmatrix} x^j y^{h-j} = \prod_{j=0}^{h-1} (x + (-q)^j y) \quad \text{for real } x, y,$$

to (15) to find that

$$|X_k| = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^k (-q)^{\binom{k-j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} (-q)^{nj}.$$

Using the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix},$$

we see that (4) gives the correct expression for  $Q_k(0)$ . Now invoke Lemma 7 and the following version of Pascal's triangle identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-i+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix} - (-q)^{n-i-j+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ j \end{bmatrix}$$

to conclude that (4) gives the correct expression for  $Q_k(i)$  for all  $k, i \geq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. De Beule, A. Klein, K. Metsch, and L. Storme, *Partial ovoids and partial spreads in Hermitian polar spaces*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **47** (2008), no. 1-3, 21–34.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-regular graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] L. Carlitz and J. H. Hodges, *Representations by Hermitian forms in a finite field*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 393–405.
- [4] J. de la Cruz, M. Kiermaier, A. Wassermann, and W. Willems, *Algebraic structures of MRD codes*, Adv. Math. Commun. **10** (2016), no. 3, 499–510.
- [5] Ph. Delsarte, *An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory*, Philips Res. Rep. Suppl. **10** (1973).
- [6] ———, *Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **25** (1978), no. 3, 226–241.
- [7] Ph. Delsarte and J. M. Goethals, *Alternating bilinear forms over  $\text{GF}(q)$* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **19** (1975), no. 1, 26–50.
- [8] Ph. Delsarte and V. I. Levenshtein, *Association schemes and coding theory*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **44** (1998), no. 6, 2477–2504.
- [9] J.-G. Dumas, R. Gow, and J. Sheekey, *Rank properties of subspaces of symmetric and Hermitian matrices over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), no. 6, 504–520.
- [10] E. M. Gabidulin and N. I. Pilipchuk, *Symmetric matrices and codes correcting rank errors beyond the  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$  bound*, Discrete Appl. Math. **154** (2006), no. 2, 305–312.

- [11] R. Gow, M. Lavrauw, J. Sheekey, and F. Vanhove, *Constant rank-distance sets of Hermitian matrices and partial spreads in Hermitian polar spaces*, Electron. J. Combin. **21** (2014), no. 1, Paper 1.26.
- [12] F. Ihringer, *A new upper bound for constant distance codes of generators on Hermitian polar spaces of type  $H(2d - 1, q^2)$* , J. Geom. **105** (2014), no. 3, 457–464.
- [13] F. Ihringer, P. Sin, and Q. Xiang, *New bounds for partial spreads of  $H(2d - 1, q^2)$  and partial ovoids of the Ree-Tits octagon*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **153** (2018), 46–53.
- [14] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A course in combinatorics*, second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [15] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North Holland, 1977.
- [16] W. J. Martin and H. Tanaka, *Commutative association schemes*, European J. Combin. **30** (2009), no. 6, 1497–1525.
- [17] D.-J. Mercier, *The number of solutions of the equation  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_t/\mathbb{F}_s}(f(x) + v \cdot x) = b$  and some applications*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **193** (2004), no. 1-3, 251–262.
- [18] K.-U. Schmidt, *Symmetric bilinear forms over finite fields of even characteristic*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **117** (2010), no. 8, 1011–1026.
- [19] ———, *Symmetric bilinear forms over finite fields with applications to coding theory*, J. Algebraic Combin. **42** (2015), no. 2, 635–670.
- [20] M. Schmidt, *Rank metric codes*, Master’s thesis, University of Bayreuth, 2016.
- [21] D. Stanton, *A partially ordered set and  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **30** (1981), no. 3, 276–284.
- [22] F. Vanhove, *The maximum size of a partial spread in  $H(4n + 1, q^2)$  is  $q^{2n+1} + 1$* , Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2009), no. 1, Note 13.
- [23] ———, *A geometric proof of the upper bound on the size of partial spreads in  $H(4n + 1, q^2)$* , Adv. Math. Commun. **5** (2011), no. 2, 157–160.
- [24] Z.-X. Wan, *Geometry of matrices*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PADERBORN UNIVERSITY, WARBURGER STR. 100,  
 33098 PADERBORN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* kus@math.upb.de