Bohl exponent for time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations*

Thomas Berger

Institute of Mathematics, Ilmenau University of Technology, Weimarer Straße 25, 98693 Ilmenau, Germany, thomas.berger@tu-ilmenau.de.

International Journal of Control

1st subm.: Jan. 17, 2012; rev. version: April 19, 2012

Abstract

We study stability of linear time-varying differential-algebraic equations (DAEs). The Bohl exponent is introduced and finiteness of the Bohl exponent is characterized, the equivalence of exponential stability and a negative Bohl exponent is shown and shift properties are derived. We also show that the Bohl exponent is invariant under the set of Bohl transformations. For the class of DAEs which possess a transition matrix introduced in this paper, the Bohl exponent is exploited to characterize boundedness of solutions of a Cauchy problem and robustness of exponential stability.

Keywords: Time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations, transition matrix, Bohl exponent, Bohl transformation, exponential stability, robustness

1 Introduction

Differential-algebraic equations (DAEs) are a combination of differential equations along with (implicitly hidden) algebraic constraints. They have been discovered to be the perfect tool for modeling a vast variety of problems e.g. in mechanical engineering [3, 26, 45], multibody dynamics [24, 49], electrical networks [23, 43, 47] and chemical engineering [17, 20, 44], which often cannot be modeled by standard ordinary differential equations (ODEs). A nice example can also be found in [33]: A mobile manipulator is modeled as a linear time-varying differential-algebraic control problem. These problems indeed have in common that the dynamics are algebraically constrained, for instance by tracks, Kirchhoff laws or conservation laws. In particular the power in application is responsible for DAEs being nowadays an established field in applied mathematics and subject of various monographs and textbooks [10, 12, 13, 18, 27, 34]. In this work we study questions related to the stability theory of linear time-varying DAEs: The concepts of exponential stability, Bohl exponent and robustness. Due to the algebraic constraints in DAEs most of the classical concepts of the qualitative theory have to be modified and the analysis gets more involved. As stated in [38] there is a close relationship between the theory of the Bohl exponent (or the spectral theory in general, resp.) and the theory of adjoint equations, which has been studied in the context of control problems, see e.g. [5, 14, 36].

^{*}This work was supported by DFG grant Il25/9.

We consider time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations of the form

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x,\tag{1.1}$$

where $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For brevity, we identify the tuple (E, A) with the DAE (1.1). For robustness analysis we also consider implicit differential equations

$$F(t, x, \dot{x}) = 0, (1.2)$$

where $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The functions E, A and F are supposed to be continuous for technical reasons only: the results are also valid if the functions are piecewise continuous, L_{loc}^{∞} , or distributional. Rather than the systems entries, the solution space is crucial, and here we allow for "right global solutions", see Definition 2.1.

The stability theory of general time-varying DAEs (1.2) and even linear systems (E, A) is an active research topic. However, most of the stability results for DAEs are obtained for index-1 systems, see e.g. [2, 11, 16, 21, 22, 25, 28, 37, 40, 46, 50]. When higher index DAEs are considered, additional assumptions have to be made [29, 41, 42, 50]. It is also possible to reformulate the DAE by applying some index reduction method in order to obtain a lower-index DAE with the same solution set, see e.g. [34, 35, 38].

The main focus of stability theory, and therefore of the articles mentioned above, is the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of the given system. There are two fundamental concepts in the theory of ordinary differential equations to investigate asymptotic behaviour of solutions: the Lyapunov and the Bohl exponent. While the Lyapunov exponent, introduced by Aleksandr M. Lyapunov [39], gives a bound for the exponential growth of the solutions of the system, the Bohl exponent, introduced by Piers Bohl [9], describes the uniform exponential growth of the solutions. It is well-known from ODEs that the Bohl exponent compared to the Lyapunov exponent is the appropriate concept when it comes to time-varying, instead of time-invariant, ODEs. The Bohl exponent has been successfully used to characterize exponential stability and to derive robustness results, see e.g. [19, 30]. For an excellent summary of the history of the development of the Lyapunov and Bohl exponent see [19, pp. 146–148]. When it comes to DAEs, the Bohl exponent approach has, to the author's best knowledge, only been carried out in two contributions [16, 38]. Though [16] generalize several ODE results concerning the Bohl exponent to DAEs, they treat only linear DAEs of index 1. [38] investigate Bohl spectral intervals and the Bohl exponent of particular solutions and fundamental solution matrices, however the Bohl exponent of the system does not lie in their focus. Both [16] and [38] avoid the problem of a proper definition of the Bohl exponent for general implicit differential equations (1.2).

The aim of the present article is to develop a theory of the Bohl exponent for DAEs (E,A). To this end, the obstacle which arises from the algebraic constraints in DAEs has to be overcome. It is therefore necessary to modify the classical definition known from ODEs. Hence, we consider the set of right global solutions of (E,A) introduced in [6] to obtain a proper definition of the Bohl exponent for DAE systems. The notions of (right global) solutions and exponential stability are introduced in Section 2. In Section 3 we define the Bohl exponent for a solution x and the system (1.2) and derive properties of it. Furthermore, finiteness of the Bohl exponent is characterized, the equivalence of exponential stability and a negative Bohl exponent is shown and shift properties are derived. Lyapunov and Bohl transformation are introduced and it is shown that the Bohl exponent is invariant under Bohl transformation. In Section 4, we focus on the class of DAEs which possess a transition matrix: It is shown that solutions of certain structured perturbations of DAEs can be represented in terms of an integral equation. For this class of systems we derive the main theorems in Section 5: Theorem 5.5 shows that the Bohl exponent is negative if, and only if, the Cauchy problem (5.6) has a bounded solution

whenever the inhomogeneity is in class (5.7). Theorem 5.7 characterizes the robustness of exponential stability, more precisely, the behaviour of the Bohl exponent under perturbations is characterized. As it is well-known for ODEs, Theorem 5.7 states that the Bohl exponent does only change little if the perturbation of the system is "sufficiently small". The first approach to robustness of exponential stability of time-varying DAEs has been carried out in [16] - also using the Bohl exponent - however, only for index-1 systems. In the present paper, to the author's best knowledge, the first robustness results for general DAE systems, for which an index does not even need to exist, are derived.

The results of the present paper are the generalizations of ODE results in [19, 30, 31, 32] to DAEs. While stability is of course an important issue in control theory, and therefore the results concerning the Bohl exponent are essential, the obtained robustness results are important in order to deal with problems in adaptive control [4] and robust control [51].

Nomenclature

```
the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}
\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_0
                      (0,\infty)
\mathbb{R}_{+}
                         the image of the matrix A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
\operatorname{im} A
                         the general linear group of degree n, i.e.,
the set of all invertible n \times n matrices
\mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})
                         over \mathbb{R}
                 = \sqrt{x^{\top}x}, the Euclidean norm of x \in \mathbb{R}^n
||x||
                 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x - x^0|| < \delta \}, \text{ the open ball of radius } \delta > 0 \text{ around } x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \}
\mathcal{B}_{\delta}(x^0)
                         \sup \{ \|Ax\| \mid \|x\| = 1 \}, induced matrix norm of A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}
||A||
\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I};\mathcal{S})
                         the set of continuous functions f: \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{S} from an open set \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R} to a vector
                         space S
\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{I};\mathcal{S})
                         the set of k-times continuously differentiable functions f: \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{S} from an open
                         set \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R} to a vector space \mathcal{S}
\operatorname{dom} f
                         the domain of the function f
||f||_{\infty}
                         \sup \{ \|f(t)\| \mid t \in \text{dom } f \}  the infinity norm of the function f
                         the restriction of the function f on a set \mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{dom } f
f\mid_{\mathcal{M}}
f(0+)
                         \lim_{t \searrow 0} f(t) if this limit exists
```

2 Stability of solutions

The concept of a solution and its extendability is introduced similarly to ODEs, see for example [1, Sec. 5]. Note that we consider the concept of classical, i.e., continuously differentiable, solutions.

```
Definition 2.1 (Solutions). A function x:(\alpha,\omega)\to\mathbb{R}^n,\ 0\leq\alpha<\omega, is called solution of (1.2) if, and only if, x\in\mathcal{C}^1((\alpha,\omega);\mathbb{R}^n) and x satisfies (1.2) for all t\in(\alpha,\omega). A solution \tilde{x}:(\alpha,\tilde{\omega})\to\mathbb{R}^n of (1.2) is called a (right) extension of x if, and only if, \tilde{\omega}\geq\omega and x=\tilde{x}\mid_{(\alpha,\omega)}. x is called
```

right maximal if, and only if, $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$ for every extension $\tilde{x}: (\alpha, \tilde{\omega}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of x,

right global if, and only if, $\omega = \infty$,

global if, and only if,
$$(\alpha, \omega) = \mathbb{R}_+$$
.

Consider the linear system (E,A). Let $(t^0,x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$; then the set of all right maximal solutions of the initial value problem (E, A), $x(t^0) = x^0$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0,x^0) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x: \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^n & \text{\mathcal{J} open interval, $t^0 \in \mathcal{J}, $x(t^0) = x^0,$} \\ x(\cdot) \text{ is a right maximal solution of (E,A)} \end{array} \right\},$$

and the set of all right global solutions of (E, A), $x(t^0) = x^0$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0,x^0) := \{ x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0,x^0) \mid x(\cdot) \text{ is right global solution of } (E,A) \}.$$

For DAEs it is essential to consider the appropriate set of solutions and the corresponding initial values for which these solutions exist. The set $\mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0,x^0)$ has proved to be a fundamental solution space of time-varying DAEs (E, A), see [6].

The set of all pairs of consistent initial values of $(E,A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^2$ and the linear subspace of initial values which are consistent at time $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{V}_{E,A} := \left\{ (t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{there exists a (local) solution } x(\cdot) \text{ of } (E, A) \text{ with } \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) := \left\{ x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \right\},$$

resp. Note that if $x: \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (E, A), then $x(t) \in \mathcal{V}_{E, A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathcal{J}$. Possible singular behaviour of right maximal solutions $x:(\alpha,\omega)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ of (E,A) with $\omega<\infty$ is discussed in [6, Sec. 2]: it is shown that DAEs behave very differently compared to ODEs.

Next we define exponential stability of a linear DAE (E,A). Note that usually stability is a property of a particular solution: other existing solutions in a neighborhood of it stay close to it for all time. For linear systems it is sufficient to consider this property only for the trivial solution. However, for DAEs it is at first sight not clear whether this is still true. To this end, it is shown in [6, Thm. 4.3] that also for DAEs (E,A) it suffices to consider the stability properties of the trivial solution. Therefore, we introduce exponential stability of (E, A) as in [6, Defs. 4.1 & 4.4].

Definition 2.2 (Exponential stability). A linear DAE $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ is called *exponentially* stable if, and only if, there exist $\mu, M > 0$ such that for all initial times $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for all initial values $x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(0)$ and all solutions $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)$ of the corresponding initial value problem we have $[t^0, \infty) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} x$ and, for all $t \ge t^0$,

$$||x(t)|| \le Me^{-\mu(t-t^0)}||x^0||.$$

 \Diamond

Note that the initial value $x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(0)$ in Definition 2.2 is not explicitly required to be consistent. However, if $x^0 \notin \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$, then clearly $\mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0,x^0) = \emptyset$ and thus the statement is also true in this

Furthermore, the local Definition 2.2 (i.e., $\delta > 0$ and $x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(0)$) seems to be artificial and superfluous for linear DAEs. However, we consider general time-varying linear DAEs, where it is not clear whether a condition which holds locally does also hold globally. In Section 5 it is shown that the latter is indeed the case for linear DAEs which possess a transition matrix (cf. Definition 4.1).

Finalizing this section, note that our notion of exponential stability is sometimes referred to as uniformly exponential stability, see e.g. [48, Def. 6.5], [31, p. 257] for ODEs. This is due to the fact that M in Definition 2.2 does not depend on the initial time t^0 .

3 Bohl exponent and Bohl transformation - general results

We introduce the concept of Bohl exponent for right global solutions of (1.2) and for the system (1.2) itself. Then we concentrate on linear DAEs (E, A) and derive basic properties of the Bohl exponent. The main results of this section are Theorem 3.9, which states conditions equivalent to a negative Bohl exponent, and Proposition 3.17, which states that the Bohl exponent is preserved under Bohl transformation.

We stress that in order to generalize ODE results to DAEs it is crucial to consider the correct (function) spaces and to bear in mind that, in general, there is no transition matrix and associated semi group property.

The notation $\mathcal{B}(\nu, N)$, introduced next for the general nonlinear DAE (1.2) and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{R}_+$, was first used by P. Bohl [9] for linear ODEs. We say that (1.2) has property $\mathcal{B}(\nu, N)$ if, and only if, for all right global solutions $x : (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of (1.2) we have that, for all $t \geq s > \alpha$,

$$||x(t)|| \le Ne^{-\nu(t-s)} ||x(s)||.$$

For more information about the applications of this property in (mathematical) history see [19, pp. 146–148].

We continue with the definition of the Bohl exponent for right global solutions of (1.2). This is a straightforward generalization of the corresponding concept for ODEs (see e.g. [19]); [38] generalize this to the class of linear time-varying DAEs (E, A) which have a well-defined differentiation index.

Definition 3.1 (Bohl exponent for solutions). The Bohl exponent of a right global solution x: $(\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of (1.2) is defined as

$$k_B(x) := \inf \left\{ \ \rho \in \mathbb{R} \ \left| \ \exists N_\rho > 0 \text{ such that for all } t \ge s > \alpha : \ \|x(t)\| \le N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)} \|x(s)\| \ \right\}.$$

Note that we use the usual convention inf $\emptyset = +\infty$.

It is clear that if x(t) = 0 for all $t > \alpha$, then $k_B(x) = -\infty$. However, if x vanishes only at isolated points, then the Bohl exponent might become $+\infty$. While the latter is usually undesirable, a Bohl exponent of $-\infty$ is not critical and all the properties which hold for solutions with Bohl exponent $k_B(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ hold for solutions with Bohl exponent $k_B(x) = -\infty$ as well. Therefore, we make the convention to say that "the Bohl exponent $k_B(x)$ is finite" if $k_B(x) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$. The above mentioned issues and the possible calculation of the Bohl exponent are addressed in the following remark.

Remark 3.2 (Calculation of Bohl exponent). On page 169 in [38] it is shown that, as a generalization of the formula in [19, p. 118], the Bohl exponent (as considered in [38]) admits the representation

$$k_B(x) = \limsup_{s, t - s \to \infty} \frac{\ln \|x(t)\| - \ln \|x(s)\|}{t - s}.$$
(3.1)

However, formula (3.1) does, in general, not hold true for solutions of systems (1.2), or even linear DAEs $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. Consider the scalar system

$$(t-2)\dot{x} = 2x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.2}$$

 \Diamond

Then $x: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto \begin{cases} (t-2)^2, & 0 < t \leq 2 \\ 0, & t > 2 \end{cases}$ is a non-trivial global solution of (3.2) and $k_B(x) = 0 < \infty$. But obviously, a calculation of $k_B(x)$ via formula (3.1) is impossible. Here $x \mid_{[2,\infty)} = 0$, which

is possible because of the finiteness of the Bohl exponent of x.

If the Bohl exponent is not finite, solutions may vanish only at isolated points. Consider the DAE

$$\sin t \ \dot{x} = \cos t \ x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.3}$$

The function $x : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto \sin t$, is a non-trivial global solution of (3.3) with $k_B(x) = \infty$. Since $x(k\pi) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a calculation of $k_B(x)$ via formula (3.1) is impossible.

Nevertheless the following is easily verified:

If $x:(\alpha,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is any right global solution of (1.2) and $x(t)\neq 0$ for all $t>\alpha$, then $k_B(x)$ may be calculated via formula (3.1).

Example 3.3. Consider the system

$$(t-1)\dot{x} = (2-t)x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$
 (3.4)

Any local solution of (3.4) extends uniquely to a global solution and the set of global solutions of (3.4) is given by $\{\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto c(t-1)e^{-(t-1)} \mid c \in \mathbb{R} \}$. Since any global solution of (3.4) is zero at t=1, the Bohl exponent of any non-trivial global solution equals $+\infty$, even though all global solutions tend exponentially to zero. This shows that an exponential decay rate of a solution of a time-varying DAE is not sufficient to deduce a negative or even finite Bohl exponent, as in the case of ODEs. This is due to the possible non-uniqueness of solutions, cf. also Proposition 3.8.

Now, following [19, Ch. VII] and using the concept of right global solutions, it is straightforward to define the Bohl exponent for a system (1.2). In order to define the Bohl exponent for nonlinear systems (1.2) the additional assumption

$$F(t,0,0) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$
 (3.5)

has to be imposed. This is due to the fact that the Bohl exponent describes the uniform asymptotic behaviour of the solutions with respect to the trivial solution. It is also possible to define the Bohl exponent with respect to any other solution of the system, but via a shift by this solution we may reduce it to the above situation. This Bohl exponent is therefore also called Bohl exponent at zero of (1.2) [19, Ch. VII]. For brevity we just call it Bohl exponent in this paper.

Definition 3.4 (Bohl exponent for DAEs). The *Bohl exponent of a system* (1.2) which satisfies (3.5) is defined as

$$k_B(1.2) := \inf \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists N_{\rho} > 0 \text{ such that for all right global sln. } x : (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ of } (1.2) \\ \text{we have that, for all } t \geq s > \alpha : \|x(t)\| \leq N_{\rho} e^{\rho(t-s)} \|x(s)\| \end{array} \right\}.$$

In the case of a linear DAE $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ we write $k_B(E, A)$ instead of $k_B(1.1)$. Similar to Bohl exponents of particular solutions, it may happen that every right global solution of (1.2) is identical zero, thus the Bohl exponent is $k_B(1.2) = -\infty$. Therefore, due to the same reasons as before, we make the convention to say that "the Bohl exponent $k_B(1.2)$ is finite" if $k_B(1.2) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$. We illustrate Definition 3.4 by an example.

Example 3.5. Consider the semi-explicit index-1 DAE

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos^2 t & \sin t \\ \sin t & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

Simple calculations show that $\dot{x}_1 = -x_1$ and $x_2 = -(\sin t)x_1$ and therefore any right global solution $(x_1, x_2) : (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $\alpha \ge 0$, of (3.6) has the representation:

$$x_1(t) = e^{-(t-s)}x_1(s), \quad x_2(t) = -x_1(t)\sin t \quad \text{for } t, s > \alpha.$$
 (3.7)

Hence, for all $t, s > \alpha$,

$$||(x_1(t), x_2(t))|| = e^{-(t-s)} \sqrt{(1+\sin^2 t)x_1(s)^2}$$

$$\leq 2e^{-(t-s)} \sqrt{(1+\sin^2 s)x_1(s)^2} = 2e^{-(t-s)} ||(x_1(s), x_2(s))||,$$

by which it is straightforward to see that system (3.6) has Bohl exponent $k_B(3.6) = -1$.

Remark 3.6. It is obvious that the Bohl exponent $k_B(x)$ of right global solutions x of (1.2) and the Bohl exponent $k_B(1.2)$ of (1.2) are related in the following way:

$$\forall$$
 right global sln. $x(\cdot)$ of (1.2) : $k_B(x) \le k_B(1.2)$. (3.8)

However, equality does not hold: System (3.2) has Bohl exponent $k_B(3.2) = \infty$ since $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto (t-2)^2$ is a global solution of (3.2). However, as shown in Remark 3.2, there are nontrivial global solutions with finite Bohl exponent.

The Bohl exponent for the nonlinear DAE (1.2) will be used for robustness analysis of linear DAEs at the end of Section 5. In the remainder of this section we will consider linear DAEs $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. The next proposition characterizes finiteness of the Bohl exponent.

Proposition 3.7 (Finiteness of the Bohl exponent). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. Then $k_B(E, A) < \infty$ if, and only if,

$$\sup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\|x(t)\|}{\|x^0\|} & (t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \ x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}_{E, A}(t^0, x^0), \ t \in [t^0, t^0 + 1] \end{array} \right\} < \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Here we use the usual conventions $\frac{\alpha}{0} := \infty$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $\frac{0}{0} := 0$; so $x^0 = 0$ in (3.9) is specifically allowed.

Proof: The proof is straightforward using arguments as in [31, Prop. 3.3.14]. It only has to be paid attention to the case $x^0 = 0$ and (for necessity) the fact that, in contrast to ODEs, no transition matrix and its semi group properties are available. Then necessity can be deduced from the observation that, if $t \ge t^0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $t^0 + (k-1) \le t < t^0 + k$ and $t^0 \ne 0$ as well as $t^0 \ne 0$ for all $t^0 \ne 0$ for all $t^0 \ne 0$, then

$$\frac{\|x(t)\|}{\|x^0\|} = \frac{\|x(t)\|}{\|x(t-1)\|} \cdot \frac{\|x(t-1)\|}{\|x(t-2)\|} \cdots \frac{\|x(t-(k-1))\|}{\|x^0\|}.$$

For the solutions of a DAE (E, A) with finite Bohl exponent $k_B(E, A)$ we have the following uniqueness property, the proof of which is straightforward.

Proposition 3.8 (Bohl exponent and unique solutions). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ such that $k_B(E, A) < \infty$. If $x_1 : (\alpha_1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x_2 : (\alpha_2, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ both solve the initial value problem $(E, A), x(t^0) = x^0$ for $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then $x_1 \mid_{[t^0, \infty)} = x_2 \mid_{[t^0, \infty)}$.

For linear ODEs it is well-known [31, Thm. 3.3.15] that exponential stability is equivalent to a negative Bohl exponent and to the fact that the transition matrix is bounded in the L^p -norm. This is useful for studying robustness of exponential stability, since the Bohl exponent turns out to be the appropriate tool for robustness analysis, see e.g. [19, 30]. For DAEs we proceed in a similar manner by first stating a DAE-version of [31, Thm. 3.3.15] and then deriving robustness results in Section 5.

The next theorem shows that the Bohl exponent of (E, A) is negative $(or -\infty)$ if, and only if, the trivial solution of (E, A) is "essentially" exponentially stable. "Essentially" in the sense that we cannot guarantee that every existing right maximal solution in a neighborhood of the trivial solution is right global, cf. Definition 2.2. But we can guarantee that all right global solutions decay exponentially to zero. In this sense it is a DAE-version of the ODE result [31, Thm. 3.3.15].

Theorem 3.9 (Exponential stability via Bohl exponent). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ such that $k_B(E, A) < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $k_B(E, A) < 0$.
- (ii) There exist $\mu, M > 0$ such that for all $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)$ and $t \ge t^0$ it holds $||x(t)|| \le M e^{-\mu(t-t^0)} ||x^0||$.
- (iii) For all $p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists c > 0 such that for all $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{G}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0)$ it holds $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|x(t)\|^p dt \leq c \|x^0\|^p.$

Proof: The proof follows from a straightforward extension of the arguments used in [31, Thm. 3.3.15].

Remark 3.10 (Lyapunov function). As a consequence of [6, Thm. 5.2], the existence of a Lyapunov function for (E, A) (see [6, Def. 5.1]) is sufficient for the Bohl exponent $k_B(E, A)$ to be negative (or $-\infty$).

The following proposition states a shift property of the Bohl exponent, i.e., that, roughly speaking, adding a scalar multiple of E to A does shift the Bohl exponent $k_B(E, A)$ by exactly this scalar. It is a generalization of [32, Lem. 4.3.4], see also [30, Lem. 2.4]; it has been proved for DAEs of index 1 in [16, Rem. 4.9].

Proposition 3.11 (Shift property). For $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ and $(1, a) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R})^2$ the following statements hold true:

- (i) $k_B(1,a) = \inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists M_\omega > 0 \ \forall t \ge s > 0 : e^{\int_s^t a(\tau) d\tau} \le M_\omega e^{\omega(t-s)} \right\}.$
- (ii) If $k_B(1,a) < \infty$, then $k_B(1,a) = \limsup_{s,t-s \to \infty} \frac{\int_s^t a(\tau) d\tau}{t-s}$.
- (iii) If $k_B(1,a) = \lim_{s,t-s\to\infty} \frac{\int_s^t a(\tau) d\tau}{t-s} < \infty$ (note that we require the lim here instead of the lim sup), then
 - (a) $k_B(1,-a) = -k_B(1,a)$ and
 - (b) $k_B(E, A + aE) = k_B(E, A) + k_B(1, a)$.

Proof: (i), (ii) and (iii)(a) are simple statements concerning ODEs, the proofs of which can be found in [32, Sec. 4.3]. The proof of (iii)(b) is straightforward - it is only necessary to pay attention to the case $k_B(E, A) = \infty$.

Remark 3.12 (Shift and exponential stability). Proposition 3.11 yields that any $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ satisfies:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : k_B(E, A + \alpha E) = k_B(E, A) + \alpha.$$

If $k_B(E,A) < \infty$, then one may obtain, via a shift $\alpha < -k_B(E,A)$, that $k_B(E,A+\alpha E) < 0$ and therefore, due to Theorem 3.9, system $(E,A+\alpha E)$ is "essentially" exponentially stable in the sense of Theorem 3.9(ii). With this in mind one can say that the DAE has been stabilized.

Now we consider a time-varying coordinate transformation $z(t) = T(t)^{-1}x(t)$, where $T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$. To this end we recall the definition of equivalence of two linear DAEs (E_1, A_1) and (E_2, A_2) ; see [34, Def. 3.3].

Definition 3.13 (Equivalence of DAEs). The DAEs $(E_1, A_1), (E_2, A_2) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ are called equivalent if, and only if, there exists $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})) \times \mathcal{C}^1((\tau, \infty); \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ such that

$$E_2 = SE_1T$$
, $A_2 = SA_1T - SE_1\dot{T}$; we write $(E_1, A_1) \stackrel{S,T}{\sim} (E_2, A_2)$. (3.10)

We introduce Lyapunov transformation (see for example [48, Def. 6.14] for ODEs) and Bohl transformation (see for example [31, Def. 3.3.16] for ODEs) on the set of all initial values (t, x) for which (E, A) has a right global solution:

$$\mathcal{G}(E, A) := \{ (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathcal{G}_{E, A}(t, x) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

We stress that we consider Lyapunov and Bohl transformations on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$, not on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The reason is that the set

$$\mathcal{G}(E,A)(t) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (t,x) \in \mathcal{G}(E,A) \right\}, \qquad t > 0,$$

is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and if $x:(\alpha,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is a right global solution of (E,A), then $x(t)\in\mathcal{G}(E,A)(t)$ for all $t>\alpha$. Roughly speaking, the (hidden) algebraic constraints in the DAE cause the dynamics of the system to take place on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$. As seen in [6], the sets $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$ and $\mathcal{G}(E,A)(t)$ are fundamental for the investigation of stability properties of DAEs (E,A). For ODEs (I,A), clearly $\mathcal{G}(I,A)(t)=\mathbb{R}^n$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$.

Definition 3.14 (Lyapunov and Bohl transformation). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. Then $T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is called a *Lyapunov transformation on* $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ if, and only if,

$$\exists \ell_1, \ell_2 > 0 \ \forall (t, x) \in \mathcal{G}(E, A) : \ \ell_1 \|x\| < \|T(t)^{-1} x\| < \ell_2 \|x\|. \tag{3.11}$$

 $T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is called a Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ if, and only if,

$$\inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid \exists M_{\varepsilon} > 0 \ \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(s) \ \forall z \in T(t)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) : \\ \|T(s)^{-1} x\| \cdot \|T(t) z\| \le M_{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon |t-s|} \|x\| \cdot \|z\| \right\} = 0.$$
 (3.12)

Remark 3.15 (Criterion for Bohl transformation). Note that the definition of Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$, $(E,A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^2$, differs from the definition of a Bohl transformation in the ODE case, see [31, Def. 3.3.16]. The difference is that in condition (3.12) we take the infimum over all $\varepsilon > 0$ in contrast to that for ODEs (see [31, Def. 3.3.16]) the infimum is taken over all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. So, an alternative to condition (3.12) could be

$$\inf \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \exists M_{\varepsilon} > 0 \ \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(s) \ \forall z \in T(t)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) : \\ \|T(s)^{-1} x\| \cdot \|T(t) z\| \le M_{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon |t-s|} \|x\| \cdot \|z\| \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$
 (3.13)

If (E, A) is an ODE, then $\mathcal{G}(E, A) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and it is easily seen that conditions (3.12) and (3.13) are equivalent. But for DAEs it may be that the infimum in (3.13) is $-\infty$, due to the restriction to the set $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$. For instance, consider (E, A) = (0, I). Then $\mathcal{G}(0, I)(t) = \{0\}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and any state space transformation $T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ would transform the system 0 = x into 0 = z and hence preserve all properties (in particular the Bohl exponent), but T does not satisfy condition (3.13) since the infimum equals $-\infty$; in fact T satisfies (3.12).

Remark 3.16 (Lyapunov and Bohl transformation). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$.

- (i) If (E, A) is an ODE, then $\mathcal{G}(E, A) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is a Lyapunov transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ if, and only if, T and T^{-1} are bounded.
- (ii) Neither the Lyapunov nor the Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$ form a group with respect to pointwise multiplication, since, for instance, the product of two Lyapunov transformations on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$ is not necessarily a Lyapunov transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$. This is due to the restriction to the set $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$. However, the following holds true: If

$$(E, A) \overset{S,T}{\sim} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$$
 for some $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})), T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})),$

T is a Lyapunov (Bohl) transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$, and $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is a Lyapunov (Bohl) transformation on $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{E},\tilde{A})$, then $T\tilde{T}$ is a Lyapunov (Bohl) transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$. Concerning Lyapunov transformation this is easily verified. For Bohl transformation this needs a proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist M, N > 0 such that

$$\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(s) \ \forall z \in T(t)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) :$$

$$\|T(s)^{-1} x\| \cdot \|T(t) z\| \le M e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|t-s|} \|x\| \cdot \|z\|,$$
(3.14)

 $\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})(s) \ \forall z \in \tilde{T}(t)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})(t) :$

$$\|\tilde{T}(s)^{-1}x\| \cdot \|\tilde{T}(t)z\| \le Ne^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|t-s|} \|x\| \cdot \|z\|.$$
 (3.15)

Invoking that $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})(t) = T(t)^{-1}\mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have, for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(s), z \in (T(t)\tilde{T}(t))^{-1}\mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)$:

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{T}(s)^{-1}T(s)^{-1}x\|\cdot\|T(t)\tilde{T}(t)z\|\cdot\|\tilde{T}(t)z\|\cdot\|T(s)^{-1}x\| \\ &\leq MNe^{\varepsilon|t-s|}\|T(s)^{-1}x\|\cdot\|z\|\cdot\|\tilde{T}(t)z\|. \end{split}$$

If $T(s)^{-1}x = 0$, then $\tilde{T}(s)^{-1}T(s)^{-1}x = 0$ and if $\tilde{T}(t)z = 0$, then $T(t)\tilde{T}(t)z = 0$. If both are unequal to zero we may divide by their norms. In each case we obtain that

$$\|\tilde{T}(s)^{-1}T(s)^{-1}x\| \cdot \|T(t)\tilde{T}(t)z\| \le MNe^{\varepsilon|t-s|}\|x\| \cdot \|z\|,$$

whence $T\tilde{T}$ is a Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$.

(iii) Any Lyapunov transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$ is a Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E,A)$. This is easily seen by invoking that (3.11) is equivalent to

$$\exists \ell_1, \ell_2 > 0 \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ \forall z \in T(t)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) : \ \ell_2^{-1} ||z|| \le ||T(t)z|| \le \ell_1^{-1} ||z||, \tag{3.16}$$

and, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(s)$ and $z \in T(t)^{-1}\mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)$,

$$||T(s)^{-1}x|| \cdot ||T(t)z|| \stackrel{(3.11),(3.16)}{\leq} \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1} ||x|| \cdot ||z|| \leq \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1} e^{\varepsilon|t-s|} ||x|| \cdot ||z||.$$

(iv) There exist Bohl transformations on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ which are not Lyapunov transformations on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$. Consider for example, for any ODE (I, A) and n = 1, the state space transformation $T : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $t \mapsto t + 1$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\frac{t+1}{s+1} = \frac{t-s}{s+1} + 1 \leq |t-s| + 1 \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon|t-s|+\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon|t-s|+1) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{\varepsilon|t-s|}, & 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 \\ e^{|t-s|} \leq e^{\varepsilon|t-s|}, & \varepsilon > 1 \end{array} \right.$$

and hence T is a Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(I,A) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, but obviously not a Lyapunov transformation.

If (E, A) is transferable into standard canonical form [7, 15], then in [6, Prop. 4.10] it is shown that Lyapunov transformations on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$ preserve the properties of stability, attractivity and asymptotic stability of a DAE. The next proposition shows that the Bohl exponent, and therefore the property of "essential" exponential stability, is preserved under the larger set of Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$.

Proposition 3.17 (Bohl exponent is preserved under Bohl transformation). Consider $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. If

$$(E, A) \stackrel{S,T}{\sim} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$$
 for some $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R})), T \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}))$

and T is a Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(E, A)$, then

$$k_B(E, A) = k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}).$$

Proof: First observe that $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})(t) = T(t)^{-1}\mathcal{G}(E, A)(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Hence T^{-1} is a Bohl transformation on $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ and therefore it is sufficient to show that $k_B(E, A) \leq k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$.

Case 1: $k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}) = \infty$. Then clearly $k_B(E, A) \leq k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$.

Case 2: $k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A}) < \infty$. Let $\rho > k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $N_\rho > 0$ such that

$$\forall \text{ right global sln. } z:(\alpha,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n \text{ of } (\tilde{E},\tilde{A}) \text{ and } t\geq s>\alpha: \|z(t)\|\leq N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)}\|z(s)\|,$$
 (3.17)

and there exists $M_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ \forall x \in \mathcal{G}(E, A)(s) \ \forall z \in T(t)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(E, A)(t) : \ \|T(s)^{-1} x\| \cdot \|T(t) z\| \le M_{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon |t - s|} \|x\| \cdot \|z\|.$$
 (3.18)

Let $x:(\alpha,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be a right global solution of (E,A) and $t\geq s>\alpha$. Then $z:=T^{-1}x:(\alpha,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is a right global solution of (\tilde{E},\tilde{A}) and

$$||x(t)|| \cdot ||z(s)|| = ||T(t)z(t)|| \cdot ||T(s)^{-1}x(s)|| \stackrel{(3.18)}{\leq} M_{\varepsilon}e^{\varepsilon(t-s)}||x(s)|| \cdot ||z(t)||$$

$$\stackrel{(3.17)}{\leq} M_{\varepsilon}N_{\rho}e^{(\varepsilon+\rho)(t-s)}||x(s)|| \cdot ||z(s)||. \quad (3.19)$$

If z(s) = 0 then, invoking (3.18), x(t) = x(s) = z(t) = 0. If $z(s) \neq 0$ we may divide both sides of the inequality (3.19) by ||z(s)||. We obtain, for $t \geq s > \alpha$,

$$||x(t)|| \le M_{\varepsilon} N_{\rho} e^{(\varepsilon+\rho)(t-s)} ||x(s)||.$$

This gives $k_B(E, A) \leq k_B(\tilde{E}, \tilde{A})$ and completes the proof.

4 DAEs which possess a transition matrix

In this section we introduce a subclass of DAEs (E, A) which will be of special interest in due course, especially for robustness analysis. This is the set of all DAEs (E, A) for which, loosely speaking, any consistent initial value problem has a unique solution and there exists a transition matrix for the system.

Definition 4.1 (DAEs which possess a transition matrix). We say that the DAE $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ possesses a transition matrix $U : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if, and only if, uniqueness holds, i.e.,

$$\forall (t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \ \forall \ \text{sln.} \ x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t^0, x^0) : \ x_1|_{\text{dom } x_1 \cap \text{dom } x_2} = x_2|_{\text{dom } x_1 \cap \text{dom } x_2}, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: U(t,\cdot), U(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+};\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}),
\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, t \neq s: E(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U(t,s) = A(t) U(t,s),
\forall t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: U(t,s) U(s,r) = U(s,r),
\forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: \operatorname{im} U(t,s) \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) \text{ and } \operatorname{im} U(t,t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t).$$

$$(4.2)$$

Example 4.2. We revisit Example 3.5. From (3.7) we may easily observe that

$$U: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}, \ (t,s) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} e^{-(t-s)} & 0\\ -e^{-(t-s)} \sin t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a good candidate for the transition matrix of system (3.6). Indeed, uniqueness (4.1) holds true and we have $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) = \operatorname{im} [1, -\sin t]^{\top}$. Then it is easy to verify that the conditions of (4.2) hold, and hence we have proved that (3.6) possesses a transition matrix.

There are several immediate consequences for the transition matrix from Definition 4.1.

Corollary 4.3 (Properties of the transition matrix). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then the following holds true for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

(i)
$$U(t,t)^2 = U(t,t)$$
,

(ii)
$$\forall x^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) : U(t,t)x^0 = x^0$$
,

(iii)
$$\forall x^0 \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) \ \forall x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_{E,A}(t,x^0) \ \forall s \in \text{dom } x: \ x(s) = U(s,t)x^0.$$

In particular, the large class of DAEs which are sufficiently smooth and satisfy [34, Hypothesis 3.48] is a subclass of DAEs which possess a transition matrix. Uniqueness of the solution of the consistent initial value problem is shown in [34, Thm. 3.52] and the existence of a transition matrix with the respective properties is shown in [35, Sec. 3.1]. Therefore, also any DAE which is analytically solvable, has a well-defined differentiation index or is transferable into standard canonical form satisfies Definition 4.1, see [34, 15, 7].

However, there are DAEs which are in none of these classes but still possess a transition matrix. To this end consider [7, Ex. 4.3] with $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the homogeneous system (E, A) has only the trivial solution and hence Definition 4.1 is trivially satisfied. However, the system is not analytically solvable (and therefore does not satisfy [34, Hypothesis 3.48]) since e.g. for f(t) = t the inhomogeneous equation has no global solution (there is a pole at t = 1 as the solution formula clearly indicates).

In particular, this shows that the existence of a transition matrix does not guarantee that variation of constants does work, since the solution formula in [7, Ex. 4.3] shows that only for a small class of

inhomogeneities there exists a global solution. Variation of constants is only known to work always in the case of systems transferable into standard canonical form [7, Thm. 3.9].

Although there is no variation of constants formula for DAEs which possess a transition matrix, there is a class of inhomogeneities for which the solutions can be represented via an integral equation. In a way one has to find the appropriate set of inhomogeneities to make variations of constants feasible. In fact the inhomogeneities in this case may also depend on x and \dot{x} . In subsequent sections this will be used for robustness analysis.

We allow for inhomogeneities g as follows: Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ be such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For instance, $t \mapsto U(t,t)$ satisfies this condition. Then, for any $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we consider the "perturbed" DAE

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + E(t)\Pi(t)g(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)). \tag{4.3}$$

Of course, without any further assumption, the solutions of (4.3) may have a singular behavior as discussed in [6, Sec. 2], even if (E, A) has a regular behavior, such as existence and uniqueness of solutions. $E\Pi g$ is a structured term and ensures that the algebraic part of the DAE (E, A) is not effected by the perturbation, which is important in due course. It is thus possible to obtain a representation of the solutions of (4.3) using the transition matrix of (E, A). The following lemma shows that x solves (4.3) if, and only if, it solves a certain integral equation involving the transition matrix of (E, A).

Lemma 4.4 (Integral equation). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$, choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $x : (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, is a right global solution of (4.3) if, and only if, $x(\cdot)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$x(t) = U(t, t^{0})x(t^{0}) + \int_{t^{0}}^{t} U(t, s)\Pi(s)g(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) ds, \qquad t, t^{0} > \alpha.$$

Proof: " \Rightarrow ": Fix $t^0 > \alpha$ and consider the functions

$$\varphi: (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \mapsto x(t) - \int_{t^0}^t U(t, s) \Pi(s) g(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) \ \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\psi: (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \mapsto U(t, t^0) x(t^0).$$

Then, for all $t > \alpha$,

$$\begin{split} E(t)\dot{\varphi}(t) &= E(t)\dot{x}(t) - \int_{t^0}^t E(t)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}U(t,s)\Pi(s)g(s,x(s),\dot{x}(s)) \; \mathrm{d}s \; - E(t)U(t,t)\Pi(t)g(t,x(t),\dot{x}(t)) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{Def.}\; 4.1}{\mathrm{Cor.}\; 4.3}\; A(t)x(t) + E(t)\Pi(t)g(t,x(t),\dot{x}(t)) - A(t) \int_{t^0}^t U(t,s)\Pi(s)g(s,x(s),\dot{x}(s)) \; \mathrm{d}s \; - E(t)\Pi(t)g(t,x(t),\dot{x}(t)) \\ &= A(t)\varphi(t), \end{split}$$

thus φ and ψ are both right global solutions of (E, A). Therefore, $x(t^0) = \varphi(t^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$ and Corollary 4.3 gives

$$\psi(t^0) = U(t^0, t^0)x(t^0) = x(t^0) = \varphi(t^0).$$

Hence φ and ψ are both right global solutions of the initial value problem

$$E(t)\dot{z} = A(t)z, \quad z(t^0) = x(t^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0),$$

whence (4.1) yields $\varphi = \psi$.

"\(=\)": For fixed $t^0 > \alpha$ differentiation immediately gives, for all $t > \alpha$,

 $E(t)\dot{x}(t)$

$$= E(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U(t, t^0) x(t^0) + \int_{t^0}^t E(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} U(t, s) \Pi(s) g(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s + E(t) U(t, t) \Pi(t) g(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))$$

$$= A(t) U(t, t^0) x(t^0) + A(t) \int_{t^0}^t U(t, s) \Pi(s) g(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s + E(t) \Pi(t) g(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))$$

$$= A(t) x(t) + E(t) \Pi(t) g(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)).$$

We show next that the time-varying subspace $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ of a DAE (E,A) which possesses a transition matrix does not have jumps in its dimension.

Corollary 4.5 ($\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ has constant dimension). Let $(E,A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then there exists $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ such that dim $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) = k$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof: Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and set $k := \dim \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$. We distinguish two cases. Case 1: k = 0. Then U(s,s) = 0 and hence

$$U(t,t) \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} U(t,s)U(s,s)U(s,t) = 0$$

which gives dim $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Case 2: $k \geq 1$. Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$ be linearly independent. Then, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $t \mapsto U(t,s)\varphi_i$ solves (E,A) due to (4.2) and hence $U(t,s)\varphi_i \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Now fix $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In order to show that $U(t,s)\varphi_1,\ldots,U(t,s)\varphi_k$ are linearly independent let

$$c_1U(t,s)\varphi_1 + \ldots + c_kU(t,s)\varphi_k = 0$$

for some $c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, U(t,s)y = 0 for $y := c_1\varphi_1 + \ldots + c_k\varphi_k \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$ and hence

$$y = U(s, s)y = U(s, t)U(t, s)y = 0,$$

which gives, by linear independency of $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$, that $c_1 = \ldots = c_k = 0$. This proves that $\dim \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ and equality follows since s and t were fixed but arbitrary.

It remains an open problem as to whether, for the class of DAEs which possess a transition matrix, (4.2) in Definition 4.1 does already imply uniqueness (4.1). Moreover, the integral equation developed in Lemma 4.4 should be further investigated, especially regarding existence and uniqueness of solutions.

5 Bohl exponent for DAEs which possess a transition matrix

In this section we consider the Bohl exponent for the class of DAEs which possess a transition matrix as introduced in the previous section. We revisit some results of Section 3, especially concerning exponential stability, for this class in Subsection 5.1, state a relationship between solutions of a Cauchy problem and negative Bohl exponent in Subsection 5.2, and then derive a robustness result for the Bohl exponent in Subsection 5.3. In particular, we state our two main results in this section: Theorem 5.5, which shows that the Bohl exponent is negative if, and only if, the Cauchy problem (5.6) has a bounded solution whenever the inhomogeneity is in class (5.7), and Theorem 5.7, which characterizes the behaviour of the Bohl exponent under structured perturbations.

5.1 Exponential stability

It is immediate from Definition 4.1 and Corollary 4.3, that the Bohl exponent of a DAE $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ which possesses a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ has the following representation:

$$k_B(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists N_\rho > 0 \ \forall t \ge s > 0 \ \forall x \in \mathcal{V}_{E, A}(s) : \|U(t, s)x\| \le N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)} \|x\| \right\}.$$
 (5.1)

Remark 5.1 (Time-invariant linear DAEs). We give a complete characterization of the Bohl exponent of time-invariant linear DAEs $(E, A) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$. To this end we distinguish the cases that either (E, A) is regular, i.e., $det(sE - A) \not\equiv 0$, or not.

Case 1: (E, A) is regular. In this case we can find invertible matrices $S, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ which transform (E, A) into quasi-Weierstraß form [8]

$$(SET, SAT) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

where J is some matrix and N is nilpotent. Note that it is not sufficient to consider the Weierstraß canonical form as in [34, Thm. 2.7], since we require the matrices J, S, T to be real-valued. Now it follows [8] that (E, A) possesses a transition matrix

$$(t,s)\mapsto U(t,s)=T\begin{bmatrix}e^{J(t-s)}&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix}T^{-1}.$$

Then formula (5.1) and some simple calculations yield that

$$k_B(E, A) = \max \{ \operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma(J) \} = \max \{ \operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \det(\lambda E - A) = 0 \}.$$

This result was already mentioned in [16, Rem. 4.2], but without proof.

Case 2: (E,A) is not regular. In this case (E,A) does not possess a transition matrix since the uniqueness property (4.1) is violated, see [34, Thm. 2.14]. We have $\det(\lambda E - A) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and therefore, given any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we find $x_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(\lambda E - A)x_{\lambda} = 0$. Hence, $t \mapsto e^{\lambda t}x_{\lambda}$ solves the DAE (E,A) for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, which shows that (E,A) cannot have a finite Bohl exponent, i.e., we obtain

$$k_B(E,A) = \infty.$$

In order to extend Theorem 3.9 to DAEs which possess a transition matrix in such a way that a negative Bohl exponent is equivalent to exponential stability we first need a characterization of exponential stability for this class of DAEs. An immediate characterization, due to Definition 4.1 and Corollary 4.3, is the following: A DAE $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ which possesses a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is exponentially stable if, and only if,

$$\exists \mu, M > 0 \ \forall (t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} \ \forall t \ge t^0 : \ \|U(t, t^0) x^0\| \le M e^{-\mu(t - t^0)} \|x^0\|.$$
 (5.2)

The proof of this equivalence uses arguments similar to the ones used in Proposition 5.2; we omit it. Note that in particular equations (5.1) and (5.2) show that a DAE (E, A) which possesses a transition matrix is exponentially stable if, and only if, $k_B(E, A) < 0$.

We may also obtain a characterization via replacing x^0 in (5.2) by $\Pi(t^0)$, where Π is continuous and bounded and $\Pi(t^0)$ is a projector on $\mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$.

Proposition 5.2 (Exponential stability of DAEs which possess a transition matrix). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ and $\Pi(t)^2 = \Pi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Furthermore, suppose Π is bounded. Then (E, A) is exponentially stable if, and only if, there exist $\mu, M > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t^0$ it holds

$$||U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)|| \le Me^{-\mu(t-t^0)}$$

Proof: " \Rightarrow ": Let $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and note that Corollary 4.3(iii) implies that any right maximal solution of (E, A) is right global. Thus by Definition 2.2 we have

$$\exists \mu, M > 0 \ \exists \delta = \delta(t^0) > 0 \ \forall x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0) \ \forall t \ge t^0 : \ \|U(t, t^0) x^0\| \le M e^{-\mu(t - t^0)} \|x^0\|. \tag{5.3}$$

Now let $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. If $\Pi(t^0)e_i \neq 0$, then we have

$$\left\| U(t,t^0) \frac{\delta \Pi(t^0) e_i}{2 \|\Pi(t^0) e_i\|} \right\| \le M e^{-\mu(t-t^0)} \left\| \frac{\delta \Pi(t^0) e_i}{2 \|\Pi(t^0) e_i\|} \right\|$$

for all $t \ge t^0$ and hence

$$||U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)e_i|| \le Me^{-\mu(t-t^0)} ||\Pi(t^0)e_i|| \le Me^{-\mu(t-t^0)} ||\Pi(t^0)||, \quad t \ge t^0.$$

If $\Pi(t^0)e_i = 0$ the latter inequality trivially holds, hence it is true for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Using equivalence of norms and boundedness of Π the claim finally follows from some simple calculations. " \Leftarrow ": Let $t^0 > 0$. We show that (5.3) holds. Choose $\delta = 1$ and let $x^0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta}(0) \cap \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t^0)$. Since $\Pi(t^0)$ is idempotent we find that $\Pi(t^0)x^0 = x^0$, hence, for all $t \geq t^0$,

$$||U(t,t^0)x^0|| = ||U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)x^0|| \le ||U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)|| \cdot ||x^0|| \stackrel{\text{Ass.}}{\le} Me^{-\mu(t-t^0)}||x^0||.$$

This proves the assertion.

It is clear that the assumption of boundedness of Π in Proposition 5.2 is crucial, since otherwise one may easily construct a counterexample. The assumption of Π being idempotent, i.e., $\Pi(t)^2 = \Pi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, however may possibly be relaxed.

Whereas, having the transition matrix $U(\cdot,\cdot)$ of the DAE (E,A), one may define

$$\Pi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ t \mapsto \begin{cases} U(t,t), & ||U(t,t)|| \le 1 \\ \frac{U(t,t)}{||U(t,t)||}, & ||U(t,t)|| > 1 \end{cases}$$

which satisfies all assumptions. Therefore, if ever boundedness or idempotency of Π is required, this is no great restriction in the free choice of Π .

We are now in the position to state a "simplified" version of Theorem 3.9 for DAEs which possess a transition matrix. To this end we use the bounded projector Π and therefore it is clear that the following corollary is a direct generalization of [31, Thm. 3.3.15] to DAEs which possess a transition matrix, since in the case E = I we would have $\Pi = I$ and $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ would be the transition matrix of the ODE (I, A).

Corollary 5.3 (Theorem 3.9 revisited). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ and $\Pi(t)^2 = \Pi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Furthermore, suppose Π is bounded and $k_B(E, A) < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $k_B(E, A) < 0$.
- (ii) (E, A) is exponentially stable.
- (iii) For all $p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists c > 0 such that for all $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ it holds

$$\int_{t^0}^{\infty} \|U(t, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\|^p dt \le c.$$

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Follows directly from equations (5.1) and (5.2).

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii): By Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 4.3 it follows that $k_B(E, A) < 0$ if, and only if, for all $p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists c > 0 such that for all $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{E, A}$ it holds

$$\int_{t^0}^{\infty} \|U(t, t^0) x^0\|^p \, dt \le c \|x^0\|^p.$$

Now using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 5.2 yields the assertion.

Note that the matrix-valued function Π is not needed for the equivalence of (i) and (ii) in Corollary 5.3.

5.2 Cauchy problem

In the following we consider Cauchy problems corresponding to the DAE (E, A). In particular, we derive the result that, loosely speaking, the Bohl exponent of (E, A) is negative if, and only if, the Cauchy problem for a special class of bounded inhomogeneities has a bounded solution. For ODEs this is well-known [19, Thm. 5.2] and the class of inhomogeneities is just the set of all bounded continuous functions. However, for DAEs the situation is more subtle, since solutions do not necessarily exist for arbitrary inhomogeneities and variation of constants is, as explained in Section 4, in general not feasible. So one has to find the correct class of inhomogeneities in order to generalize [19, Thm. 5.2] to DAEs.

We call a continuously differentiable function $x:(0,\omega)\to\mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega>0$, a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t), \quad x(0+) = x^0,$$
 (5.4)

where $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$, if, and only if, x satisfies $E(t)\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t)$ for all $t \in (0, \omega)$ and $x(0+) = x^0$.

In Section 4 we have seen that inhomogeneities of the form $E\Pi f$, where $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ is such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, are the correct concept when it comes to DAEs (E,A) which possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot,\cdot)$. In Theorem 5.5 we show that the Cauchy problem corresponding to the inhomogeneity $E\Pi f$ has a bounded solution whenever Πf is bounded if, and only if, $k_B(E,A) < 0$. This equivalence justifies the consideration of the special perturbations $E\Pi g$ as in (4.3).

In the following lemma we show that the Cauchy problem has a unique global solution for inhomogeneities f as described above. However, we require the additional technical assumption that the limits $(\Pi f)(0+)$ and U(t,0+) exist for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Lemma 5.4 (Unique solution to the Cauchy problem). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, for any $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$, all right global solutions $x(\cdot)$ of the initial value problem

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + E(t)\Pi(t)f(t), \quad x(t^0) = 0,$$
(5.5)

satisfy

$$x(t) = \int_{t^0}^t U(t, s) \Pi(s) f(s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

for all $t \geq t^0$. If additionally U(t, 0+) exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $(\Pi f)(0+)$ exists, the Cauchy problem

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + E(t)\Pi(t)f(t), \quad x(0+) = 0,$$
(5.6)

has the unique global solution

$$x: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \mapsto \int_0^t U(t, s) \Pi(s) f(s) \ \mathrm{d}s.$$

Proof: Let $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since (E, A) possesses a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ we may apply Lemma 4.4 to conclude that any right global solution $x : (\alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of (5.5), $\alpha < t^0$, has the representation

$$x(t) = U(t, t^{0})x(t^{0}) + \int_{t^{0}}^{t} U(t, s)\Pi(s)f(s) ds, \qquad t > \alpha,$$

which, in view of $x(t^0) = 0$, proves the first claim.

Considering the Cauchy problem (5.6) we find that, due to Lemma 4.4, any right global solution $x:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ of (5.6) has the representation

$$x(t) = U(t, t^0)x(t^0) + \int_{t^0}^t U(t, s)\Pi(s)f(s) ds, \qquad t, t^0 > 0.$$

Since $\lim_{t \to 0} x(t^0) = x(0+) = 0$ and the limits $U(t, 0+), (\Pi f)(0+)$ exist for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we may take the limit for $t^0 \to 0$ and obtain

$$x(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)\Pi(s)f(s) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

On the other hand, simple calculations show that this is indeed a solution of (5.6), hence the lemma is proved.

In view of Lemma 5.4 we collect all functions f such that (5.6) has a unique global solution:

$$F_{\Pi} := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \Pi f \text{ is bounded and } (\Pi f)(0+) = 0 \}.$$
 (5.7)

Even for ODEs the result [19, Thm. 5.2] does not hold without further assumptions: the usual assumption is *integral boundedness* of A, see e.g. [19, (5.9)]. However, a careful inspection of the proof of [19, Thm. 5.2] yields that this assumption can be weakened; we formulate it for DAEs which possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$:

$$\exists M > 0 \ \forall t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ \forall t \in [t^0, t^0 + 1] : \ \|U(t, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\| \le M. \tag{5.8}$$

The following theorem is the generalization of [19, Thm. 5.2] to DAEs (E, A) which possess a transition matrix. Roughly speaking, it states that a negative Bohl exponent is equivalent to the fact that the solution of the Cauchy problem (5.6) is bounded for every $f \in F_{\Pi}$. Although the main idea of the proof is as in [19] it is more subtle.

Theorem 5.5 (Cauchy problem). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that $\operatorname{im} \Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ and $\Pi(t)^2 = \Pi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Suppose that condition (5.8) holds and U(t, 0+) exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the unique global solution of the Cauchy problem (5.6) is bounded for every $f \in F_{\Pi}$ if, and only if, $k_B(E, A) < 0$.

Proof: " \Leftarrow ": Let $f \in F_{\Pi}$. Since (E, A) possesses a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ we may apply Lemma 5.4 to conclude that the unique global solution $x : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of the Cauchy problem (5.6) has the representation

$$x(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)\Pi(s)f(s) \, ds, \qquad t > 0.$$
 (5.9)

Taking norms gives

$$||x(t)|| \le \int_0^t ||U(t,s)\Pi(s)f(s)|| \, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t > 0.$$
 (5.10)

Since $k_B(E,A) < 0$ there exist $\rho, N_\rho > 0$ such that (E,A) has property $\mathcal{B}(\rho, N_\rho)$ and invoking $\Pi(s)f(s) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$ gives that $t \mapsto U(t,s)\Pi(s)f(s)$ solves (E,A) for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Therefore,

$$||U(t,s)\Pi(s)f(s)|| \le N_{\rho}e^{-\rho(t-s)}||\Pi(s)f(s)||$$

for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This inequality together with (5.10) implies

$$||x(t)|| \le \int_0^t N_\rho e^{-\rho(t-s)} ||\Pi(s)f(s)|| ds \le ||\Pi f||_\infty \frac{N_\rho}{\rho}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

" \Rightarrow ": Step 1: We show that

$$V := \{ g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid g \text{ is bounded and there exists } f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } g = \Pi f \}$$

is a Banach space.

Clearly, V is a linear subspace of the Banach space $(\mathfrak{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$, where $\mathfrak{B} = \{ g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid g \text{ is bounded } \}$. We show that V is a closed subspace of \mathfrak{B} . To see this consider the following: for any convergent sequence $g_k \to g$ in V there exist $f_k \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $g_k = \Pi f_k$ and since $\|\Pi f_k - g\|_{\infty} \to 0$ we also have pointwise convergence, i.e., $\Pi(t) f_k(t) \to g(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This clearly gives $g(t) \in \operatorname{im} \Pi(t)$ and hence $g(t) = \Pi(t)g(t)$ since $\Pi(t)$ is idempotent. But this means $g \in V$ and therefore V is closed. Hence we have that $(V, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space.

Step 2: We show that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$K_t: V \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ g \mapsto \int_0^t U(t,s)g(s) \ \mathrm{d}s$$

is a bounded linear operator and satisfies

$$\exists K > 0 \ \forall g \in V \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+: \ \|K_t(g)\| \le K\|g\|_{\infty}. \tag{5.11}$$

Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. K_t is bounded since, for all $g \in V$,

$$\left\| \int_0^t U(t,s)g(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right\| \le \underbrace{\int_0^t \|U(t,s)\| \, \mathrm{d}s}_{=:M_t} \|g\|_{\infty}$$

and the continuity of $s \mapsto U(t, s)$ on the compact set [0, t] implies $M_t < \infty$. Now, for any $g \in V$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $g = \Pi f$ and since g is bounded, the Cauchy problem (5.6) corresponding

to Πf has a unique global and bounded solution x by assumption, i.e., there exists some M > 0 such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we have $||K_t(\Pi f)|| = ||x(t)|| \le M$, where we used the representation (5.9) of the solution x of the Cauchy problem. Therefore, we have that $\sup_{t>0} ||K_t(g)|| < \infty$ for all $g \in V$. Invoking that V is a Banach space by Step 1, the uniform boundedness principle now gives that

$$\sup_{t>0}||K_t||<\infty,$$

and therefore (5.11) holds.

Step 3: Let $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that Πf is bounded and consider the initial value problem (5.5). By Lemma 5.4 any right global solution x of (5.5) has the representation

$$x(t) = \int_{t^0}^t U(t, s) \Pi(s) f(s) \, ds, \qquad t \ge t^0, \tag{5.12}$$

and indeed x as in (5.12) solves (5.5), i.e., there exists a unique global solution. We show that the solutions are bounded in the following sense:

$$\exists K > 0 \ \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ s.t. } \Pi f \text{ is bounded } \forall t \ge t^0 : \ \|x(t)\| \le K \|\Pi f\|_{\infty}. \tag{5.13}$$

To this end consider, for $0 < \varepsilon < t^0$, the Cauchy problem

$$E(t)\dot{x}_{\varepsilon} = A(t)x_{\varepsilon} + E(t)\Pi(t)f_{\varepsilon}(t), \quad x_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \tag{5.14}$$

where

$$f_{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < t < t^{0} - \varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(t^{0})(t - t^{0} + \varepsilon) & \text{for } t^{0} - \varepsilon \le t < t^{0} \\ f(t) & \text{for } t \ge t^{0}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $f_{\varepsilon}(0+) = (\Pi f_{\varepsilon})(0+) = 0$. Then Lemma 5.4 yields that the unique global solution x_{ε} of (5.14) has the form, for t > 0,

$$x_{\varepsilon}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} U(t,s)\Pi(s)f_{\varepsilon}(s) ds$$
$$= \int_{t^{0}-\varepsilon}^{t^{0}} U(t,s)\Pi(s)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}f(t^{0})(s-t^{0}+\varepsilon)\right) ds + \int_{t^{0}}^{t} U(t,s)\Pi(s)f(s) ds. \quad (5.15)$$

Equations (5.15) and (5.11) (see Step 2) now immediately yield that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that Πf is bounded, the corresponding solution x_{ε} of (5.14) satisfies

$$||x_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \le K ||\Pi f_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}.$$
 (5.16)

Now, for fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varepsilon \to 0$ we find that $f_{\varepsilon}(t) \to f^*(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < t < t^0 \\ f(t) & \text{for } t \ge t^0 \end{cases}$. Hence $x_{\varepsilon}(t) \to x(t)$ for $t \ge t^0$, where x is as in (5.12) and therefore (5.16) yields that

$$||x(t)|| \le K ||\Pi f^*||_{\infty} \le K ||\Pi f||_{\infty}, \qquad t \ge t^0,$$

which proves (5.13).

Step 4: Let $t^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define the continuous functions

$$\chi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}, \ t \mapsto \frac{1}{\|U(t, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\|} \quad \text{and} \quad f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \mapsto \frac{U(t, t^0)\Pi(t^0)y}{\|U(t, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\|}$$

Since $U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)y \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ and $\Pi(t)$ is idempotent we find that

$$\|\Pi(t)f(t)\| = \|f(t)\| \le \|y\|$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and hence Πf is bounded. Then, by (5.12), any solution $x(\cdot)$ of (5.5) satisfies

$$x(t) = \int_{t^0}^t U(t,s)\Pi(s) \frac{U(s,t^0)\Pi(t^0)y}{\|U(s,t^0)\Pi(t^0)\|} ds = U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)y \cdot \varphi(t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}, \ t \mapsto \int_{t^0}^t \chi(s) \ \mathrm{d}s.$$

Clearly $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t^0 + 1$. From (5.13) (see Step 3) we obtain that

$$||x(t)|| \le K||\Pi f|| \le K||y||$$

for all $t \ge t^0$ and hence

$$\varphi(t)\|U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)y\| \le K\|y\|, \qquad t \ge t^0. \tag{5.17}$$

We show that

$$\frac{1}{K} \le \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)}, \qquad t \ge t^0 + 1. \tag{5.18}$$

Assume that $1/K > \varphi'(\hat{t})/\varphi(\hat{t})$ for some $\hat{t} \ge t^0 + 1$ and observe that $\varphi'(t) = \chi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, by (5.17) we obtain

$$\frac{\varphi(\hat{t})}{\varphi'(\hat{t})}\|y\| > K\|y\| \stackrel{(5.17)}{\geq} \varphi(\hat{t})\|U(\hat{t},t^0)\Pi(t^0)y\|$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and since $\varphi(\hat{t}) > 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\varphi'(\hat{t})} > \max_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y \neq 0}} \frac{\|U(\hat{t}, t^0)\Pi(t^0)y\|}{\|y\|} = \|U(\hat{t}, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\| = \frac{1}{\chi(\hat{t})} = \frac{1}{\varphi'(\hat{t})},$$

a contradiction. This proves (5.18). Now integrating (5.18) gives

$$\frac{1}{K}(t - t^0 - 1) = \int_{t^0 + 1}^t \frac{1}{K} \, \mathrm{d}s \le \int_{t^0 + 1}^t \frac{\varphi'(s)}{\varphi(s)} \, \mathrm{d}s = \ln \varphi(t) - \ln \varphi(t^0 + 1), \qquad t \ge t^0 + 1,$$

and applying the exponential yields

$$\varphi(t) \ge \varphi(t^0 + 1)e^{\frac{1}{K}(t - t^0 - 1)}, \qquad t \ge t^0 + 1.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\|U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)\|} = \chi(t) = \varphi'(t) \overset{(5.18)}{\geq} \frac{\varphi(t)}{K} \geq \frac{\varphi(t^0+1)}{K} e^{\frac{1}{K}(t-t^0-1)}$$

and hence

$$||U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)|| \le \frac{Ke^{\frac{1}{K}}}{\varphi(t^0+1)}e^{-\frac{1}{K}(t-t^0)}$$

for all $t \ge t^0 + 1$. Now invoking the assumption (5.8) we find

$$\varphi(t^0 + 1) = \int_{t^0}^{t^0 + 1} \frac{1}{\|U(s, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\|} ds \ge \frac{1}{M}$$

and

$$\max_{t \in [t^0, t^0 + 1]} e^{\frac{1}{K}(t - t^0)} \|U(t, t^0)\Pi(t^0)\| \le e^{\frac{1}{K}} M.$$

Thus, for

$$\nu := \frac{1}{K}, \quad N := \max\left\{M, \ e^{\frac{1}{K}}M\right\},$$

we obtain

$$||U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0)|| \le Ne^{-\nu(t-t^0)}, \qquad t \ge t^0.$$
 (5.19)

Case 2: There exists $\hat{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $U(\hat{t}, t^0)\Pi(t^0) = 0$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$U(t,t^0)\Pi(t^0) = U(t,\hat{t})U(\hat{t},t^0)\Pi(t^0) = 0,$$

thus (5.19) does also hold true in this case.

Cases 1 and 2 together and the " \Leftarrow "-part of the proof of Proposition 5.2 (which does not need the boundedness of Π) show that (E, A) is exponentially stable and an application of Corollary 5.3 then finally yields that $k_B(E, A) < 0$.

Remark 5.6 (Cauchy problem and condition (5.8)). A careful inspection of the proof of Theorem 5.5 reveals that condition (5.8) is only necessary for the necessity part of the proof. Furthermore, in case of an ODE (I, A), the integral boundedness of A [19, (5.9)] implies condition (5.8). That integral boundedness of A is essential (for the sufficiency part), i.e., cannot be dropped, in the case of an ODE has been shown in [19, p. 131], so it is straightforward that condition (5.8) is essential in the case of DAEs.

5.3 Robustness

In this subsection we investigate robustness of DAEs (E, A) which possess a transition matrix. The appropriate tool for this analysis is, as it turned out for ODEs [19, 30], the Bohl exponent. In [16] robustness of exponential stability of time-varying DAEs has been studied via the Bohl exponent. However, only index-1 systems are considered there and only (linear) perturbations of $A(\cdot)$ are permitted. In the present paper, to the author's best knowledge, the first robustness results for general DAE systems, for which an index does not even need to exist, are derived. We consider nonlinear perturbations in t and x, however not in \dot{x} since, as already pointed out in [16, Sec. 6], exponential stability of DAEs is sensitive with respect to perturbations in $E(\cdot)$, even in the case of constant coefficients, see e.g. [11].

We consider perturbations of the DAE (E,A) as already introduced in Section 4: Choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, for any $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)$, we consider the perturbed DAE

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + E(t)\Pi(t)g(t,x). \tag{5.20}$$

 $E\Pi g$ is a structured perturbation which guarantees "consistency" with the DAE. This becomes clear by considering the equivalent integral equation (Lemma 4.4) and Theorem 5.5, which both justify the term $E\Pi$ in front of g. Note that E and Π are constant invertible matrices if (E,A) is an ODE. Roughly speaking, the perturbation $E\Pi g$ does only affect the differential part of the DAE, if a decomposition into differential and algebraic part is possible. For instance, for systems of the form $E = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}$, $E = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, where $E = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, where $E = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, where $E = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, hence only a perturbation in the differential part of this DAE. However, unstructured perturbations, in particular perturbations of the algebraic part cannot be considered, since DAEs are sensitive to arbitrary small perturbations in the algebraic part as the following example illustrates:

Consider system (1.1) with

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \le \varepsilon < 1.$$

Clearly system (E, A_0) has only the trivial solution and is therefore exponentially stable. However, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we may calculate that the solutions are $x_2(\cdot) = ce^{(1/\varepsilon - \varepsilon)}$, $x_1(\cdot) = \varepsilon x_2(\cdot)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, which shows that the system is unstable in this case, in particular we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} k_B(E, A_{\varepsilon}) = \infty$. This shows that arbitrary small perturbations of the A-matrix of the algebraic part can lead from an exponentially stable system with Bohl exponent $-\infty$ to an unstable system with arbitrary large Bohl exponent. However, $E\Pi = 0$ in this case and hence any perturbation involving the term $E\Pi$ would still be exponentially stable and preserve the Bohl exponent.

If (E, A) is an index-1 DAE, then a complete analysis of the Bohl-exponent and its robustness, even with respect to a larger class of (linear) perturbations, is possible and has been carried out in [16]. This is because in the index-1 case the algebraic part is robust with respect to perturbations in t and x. As the above example shows, this already does not hold true anymore for index-2 DAEs (as (E, A_0) is of index-2 in the sense of [27]) - the algebraic part is then sensitive to perturbations and arbitrary small perturbations may destroy the exponential stability. It is therefore necessary to restrict the set of allowable perturbations as in (5.20) in order to obtain robustness results for the higher index cases.

We show in the following that the Bohl exponent of a system (E, A) remains negative under perturbations of the form (5.20), provided g is "sufficiently small" in some sense. In order to make a consideration of the Bohl exponent of the nonlinear system (5.20) feasible we have to ensure that condition (3.5) is satisfied, i.e., that $E(t)\Pi(t)g(t,0) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ - this is implied by the stated condition (5.21).

Theorem 5.7 (Robustness of Bohl exponent). Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for all $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $q \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R})$, where $\int_0^{\hat{t}} q(s) \, ds < \infty$ for some $\hat{t} > 0$, with the properties

$$\forall (t, x) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}: \ \|\Pi(t)g(t, x)\| \le q(t)\|x\| \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$\lim_{t,s\to\infty} \sup_{s} \frac{1}{s} \int_{t}^{t+s} q(\tau) \, d\tau < \delta, \tag{5.22}$$

we have

$$k_B(5.20) < k_B(E, A) + \varepsilon.$$

Before we prove Theorem 5.7 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8. Let $(E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2$ possess a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and choose $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ such that im $\Pi(t) = \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Suppose there exist $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R})$ such that (5.21) holds, and there exist $t^0, s^0 > 0$ such that $\int_0^{t^0} q(s) \, ds < \infty$ and

$$\sup_{t>t^0} \frac{1}{s^0} \int_t^{t+s^0} q(s) \, ds =: M^0 < \infty.$$
 (5.23)

If (E,A) has property $\mathcal{B}(\nu,N)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then (5.20) has property $\mathcal{B}(\nu-NM^0,NN^0e^{s^0NM^0})$, where $N^0 := e^{N\int_0^{t^0}q(s)\,\mathrm{d}s}$.

Proof: Let $x:(\alpha,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be a right global solution of (5.20) and $s>\alpha$. Then, by Lemma 4.4, x has the representation

$$x(t) = U(t,s)x(s) + \int_s^t U(t,\tau)\Pi(\tau)g(\tau,x(\tau)) d\tau, \qquad t > \alpha.$$

Since (E, A) possesses a transition matrix $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ and has property $\mathcal{B}(\nu, N)$ it is immediate that for all $t \geq s > 0$ and all $x \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$ it holds

$$||U(t,s)x|| \le Ne^{-\nu(t-s)}||x||. \tag{5.24}$$

Now $x(s) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(s)$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.4) and $\Pi(\tau)g(\tau,x(\tau)) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A}(\tau)$ give that, for all $t > \alpha$,

$$||x(t)|| \stackrel{(5.24)}{\leq} Ne^{-\nu(t-s)} ||x(s)|| + \int_{s}^{t} Ne^{-\nu(t-\tau)} ||\Pi(\tau)g(\tau,x(\tau))|| d\tau,$$

and hence, invoking (5.21),

$$e^{\nu t} ||x(t)|| \le N e^{\nu s} ||x(s)|| + \int_s^t Nq(\tau) e^{\nu \tau} ||x(\tau)|| d\tau.$$

An application of Gronwall's inequality (see e.g. [31, Lem. 2.1.18]) yields

$$||x(t)|| \le Ne^{-\nu(t-s)} ||x(s)|| e^{N \int_s^t q(\tau) d\tau}, \qquad t > \alpha.$$
 (5.25)

Now we distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $s < t^0$. Let $t \ge s$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s^0(k-1) \le t - t^0 < s^0 k$. Then

$$\int_{t^0}^t q(\tau) \, d\tau \stackrel{(5.23)}{\leq} ks^0 M^0 \leq (t - t^0 + s^0) M^0 \leq (t - s + s^0) M^0, \tag{5.26}$$

and therefore, for all $t \geq s$,

$$||x(t)|| \stackrel{(5.25)}{\leq} Ne^{-\nu(t-s)}||x(s)||e^{N\int_{s}^{t^{0}}q(\tau) d\tau} e^{N\int_{t^{0}}^{t}q(\tau) d\tau} e^{N\int_{t^{0}}^{t}q(\tau) d\tau} e^{N\int_{t^{0}}^{t}q(\tau) d\tau} \\ \stackrel{(5.26)}{\leq} Ne^{-\nu(t-s)}N^{0}e^{N(t-s+s^{0})M^{0}}||x(s)|| = NN^{0}e^{s^{0}NM^{0}}e^{-(\nu-NM^{0})(t-s)}||x(s)||.$$

Case 2: $s \ge t^0$. Let $t \ge s$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s^0(k-1) \le t-s < s^0k$. Then

$$\int_{s}^{t} q(\tau) d\tau \stackrel{(5.23)}{\leq} ks^{0} M^{0} \leq (t - s + s^{0}) M^{0}, \tag{5.27}$$

 \Diamond

and therefore, (5.25) gives that, for all $t \geq s$,

$$||x(t)|| \stackrel{(5.27)}{\leq} Ne^{-\nu(t-s)}e^{N(t-s+s^0)M^0}||x(s)|| \leq NN^0e^{s^0NM^0}e^{-(\nu-NM^0)(t-s)}||x(s)||.$$

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 5.7.

Proof of Theorem 5.7: (E, A) has property $\mathcal{B}\left(-k_B(E, A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, N_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right)$, and (5.22) implies existence of $t^0, s^0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t>t^0} \frac{1}{s^0} \int_t^{t+s^0} q(s) \, ds < 2\delta.$$

Note further that in the case $t^0 \leq \hat{t}$ clearly $\int_0^{t^0} q(s) ds < \infty$ and for $t^0 > \hat{t}$ we have

$$\int_0^{t^0} q(s) \, ds = \int_0^{\hat{t}} q(s) \, ds + \int_{\hat{t}}^{t^0} q(s) \, ds < \infty$$

due to the continuity of $q(\cdot)$ on the compact set $[\hat{t}, t^0]$. Now, an application of Lemma 5.8 yields that (5.20) has property $\mathcal{B}\left(-k_B(E,A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 2\delta N_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, \tilde{N}\right)$ for some $\tilde{N} > 0$. Choosing $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{4N_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}$ completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 5.9 (Bounded Π). If Π in Lemma 5.8 and Theorem 5.7 is bounded, then it is sufficient to assume that g is linearly bounded in the sense that there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall (t, x) \in \mathcal{V}_{E,A} : ||g(t, x)|| \le q(t)||x||$$

to deduce conditions equivalent to (5.23) and (5.22).

Remark 5.10 (Perturbation of Bohl exponent). Theorem 5.7 characterizes the continuity property of the Bohl exponent. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose perturbations of (E, A) so small that the corresponding Bohl exponent does only increase by at most ε .

On the other hand, Theorem 5.7 also characterizes the robustness of exponential stability of the DAE (E,A): if (E,A) is exponentially stable, then any sufficiently small perturbation of (E,A) (i.e., (5.22) is satisfied for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$) is exponentially stable, provided that a negative Bohl exponent is equivalent to exponential stability for the perturbed system.

Acknowledgement: I am indebted to Achim Ilchmann (Ilmenau University of Technology) and Stephan Trenn (University of Kaiserslautern) for various constructive discussions.

References

- [1] H. AMANN, Ordinary Differential Equations: An Introduction to Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 13 of De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, De Gruyter, Berlin New York, 1990.
- [2] U. M. ASCHER AND L. R. PETZOLD, Stability of computation for constrained dynamical systems, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 14 (1993), pp. 95–120.

- [3] ——, Computer methods for ordinary differential equations and differential-algebraic equations, SIAM Publications, Philadelphia, 1998.
- [4] K. J. ÅSTRÖM AND B. WITTENMARK, Adaptive Control, Addison-Wesley, Boston, 1994.
- K. Balla and R. März, A unified approach to linear differential algebraic equations and their adjoints,
 Z. Anal. Anwend., 21 (2002), pp. 783–802.
- [6] T. Berger and A. Ilchmann, On stability of time-varying linear differential-algebraic equations. Preprint available online, Institute for Mathematics, Ilmenau University of Technology, Preprint Number 10-12, submitted to "J. of Dynamics and Differential Equations", 2010.
- formstandard[7] -Onthecanonicaloftime-varying linearDAEs, Quart. publication, online, Appl. Math., (2011).Accepted for preprint available http://www.tu-ilmenau.de/fileadmin/media/analysis/berger/Publikationen/BergIlch-DAEs-SCF -QAM110707.pdf.
- [8] T. Berger, A. Ilchmann, and S. Trenn, *The quasi-Weierstraß form for regular matrix pencils*, Lin. Alg. Appl., 436 (2012), pp. 4052–4069.
- [9] P. Bohl, Über Differentialgleichungen, J. für Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 144 (1913), pp. 284–313.
- [10] K. E. Brenan, S. L. Campbell, and L. R. Petzold, Numerical Solution of Initial-Value Problems in Differential-Algebraic Equations, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [11] R. Byers and N. K. Nichols, On the stability radius of a generalized state-space system, Lin. Alg. Appl., 188–189 (1993), pp. 113–134.
- [12] S. L. CAMPBELL, Singular Systems of Differential Equations I, Pitman, New York, 1980.
- [13] —, Singular Systems of Differential Equations II, Pitman, New York, 1982.
- [14] S. L. CAMPBELL, N. K. NICHOLS, AND W. J. TERRELL, Duality, observability, and controllability for linear time-varying descriptor systems, Circuits Systems Signal Process., 10 (1991), pp. 455–470.
- [15] S. L. CAMPBELL AND L. R. PETZOLD, Canonical forms and solvable singular systems of differential equations, SIAM J. Alg. & Disc. Meth., 4 (1983), pp. 517–521.
- [16] C.-J. CHYAN, N. H. Du, And V. H. Linh, On data-dependence of exponential stability and stability radii for linear time-varying differential-algebraic systems, J. Diff. Eqns., 245 (2008), pp. 2078–2102.
- [17] J. E. CUTHRELL AND L. T. BIEGLER, On the optimization of differential-algebraic process systems, AIChE Journal, 33 (1987), pp. 1257–1270.
- [18] L. Dai, Singular Control Systems, no. 118 in Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [19] J. L. DALECKIĬ AND M. G. KREĬN, Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, no. 43 in Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1974.
- [20] M. DIEHLA, H. G. BOCK, J. P. SCHLÖDER, R. FINDEISEN, Z. NAGYC, AND F. ALLGÖWER, Real-time optimization and nonlinear model predictive control of processes governed by differential-algebraic equations, Journal of Process Control, 12 (2002), pp. 577–585.
- [21] N. H. Du and V. H. Linh, On the robust stability of implicit linear systems containing a small parameter in the leading term, IMA J. Math. Control & Information, 23 (2006), pp. 67–84. published online July 27, 2005.
- [22] ——, Stability radii for linear time-varying differential-algebraic equations with respect to dynamic perturbations, J. Diff. Eqns., 230 (2006), pp. 579–599.
- [23] B. Dziurla and R. W. Newcomb, Nonregular semistate systems: examples and input-output pairing, IEEE Press, New York, 1987.

- [24] E. EICH-SOELLNER AND C. FÜHRER, Numerical Methods in Multibody Dynamics, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1998.
- [25] E. Fridman, Stability of linear descriptor systems with delay: A Lyapunov-based approach, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 273 (2002), pp. 24–44.
- [26] C. FÜHRER AND B. J. LEIMKUHLER, Numerical solution of differential-algebraic equations for constrained mechanical motion, Numer. Math., 59 (1991), pp. 55–69.
- [27] E. GRIEPENTROG AND R. MÄRZ, Differential-algebraic equations and their numerical treatment, no. 88 in Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Teubner, Leipzig, 1986.
- [28] I. HIGUERAS, R. MÄRZ, AND C. TISCHENDORF, Stability preserving integration of index-1 DAEs, Appl. Numer. Math., 45 (2003), pp. 175–200.
- [29] —, Stability preserving integration of index-2 DAEs, Appl. Numer. Math., 45 (2003), pp. 201–229.
- [30] D. HINRICHSEN, A. ILCHMANN, AND A. J. PRITCHARD, Robustness of stability of time-varying linear systems, J. Diff. Eqns., 82 (1989), pp. 219–250.
- [31] D. HINRICHSEN AND A. J. PRITCHARD, Mathematical Systems Theory I. Modelling, State Space Analysis, Stability and Robustness, vol. 48 of Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [32] A. Ilchmann, Contributions to time-varying linear control systems, PhD thesis, Institut für Dynamische Systeme, Universität Bremen, Germany, 1989. Published by Verlag an der Lottbek, Ammersbek bei Hamburg, Germany.
- [33] A. Ilchmann and V. Mehrmann, A behavioural approach to time-varying linear systems, Part 1: General theory, SIAM J. Control Optim., 44 (2005), pp. 1725–1747.
- [34] P. Kunkel and V. Mehrmann, Differential-Algebraic Equations. Analysis and Numerical Solution, EMS Publishing House, Zürich, Switzerland, 2006.
- [35] ——, Stability properties of differential-algebraic equations and spin-stabilized discretizations, Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis, 26 (2007), pp. 385–420.
- [36] —, Optimal control for unstructured nonlinear differential-algebraic equations of arbitrary index, Math. Control Signals Syst., 20 (2008), pp. 227–269.
- [37] R. LAMOUR, R. MÄRZ, AND R. WINKLER, How Floquet Theory applies to index 1 differential algebraic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 217 (1998), pp. 372–394.
- [38] V. H. LINH AND V. MEHRMANN, Lyapunov, Bohl and Sacker-Sell spectral intervals for differential-algebraic equations, J. of Dynamics and Differential Equations, 21 (2009), pp. 153–194.
- [39] A. M. LYAPUNOV, The General Problem of the Stability of Motion, Comm. Soc. Math. Kharkow (in Russian), 1892. Problème Géneral de la Stabilité de Mouvement, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse 9 (1907), 203-474, reprinted in Ann. Math. Studies 17, Princeton (1949), in English: Taylor & Francis, London, 1992.
- [40] R. MÄRZ, Practical Lyapunov stability criteria for differential algebraic equations, Num. Anal. Math. Model, 29 (1994), pp. 245–266.
- [41] ——, Criteria for the trivial solution of differential algebraic equations with small nonlinearities to be asymptotically stable, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 225 (1998), pp. 587–607.
- [42] R. MÄRZ AND A. R. RODRÍGUEZ-SANTIESTEBAN, Analyzing the stability behaviour of solutions and their approximations in case of index-2 differential-algebraic systems, Math. Comp., 71 (2001), pp. 605–632.
- [43] R. W. Newcomb, The semistate description of nonlinear time-variable circuits, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst., CAS-28 (1981), pp. 62–71.
- [44] C. C. Pantelides, The consistent initialization of differential-algebraic systems, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 9 (1988), pp. 213–231.

- [45] L. R. Petzold, Numerical solution of differential-algebraic equations in mechanical systems simulation, Physica D, 60 (1992), pp. 269–279.
- [46] L. QIU AND E. J. DAVISON, The stability robustness of generalized eigenvalues, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, 37 (1992), pp. 886–891.
- [47] R. RIAZA, Differential-Algebraic Systems. Analytical Aspects and Circuit Applications, World Scientific Publishing, Basel, 2008.
- [48] W. J. Rugh, *Linear System Theory*, Information and System Sciences Series, Prentice-Hall, NJ, 2nd ed., 1996.
- [49] B. Simeon, C. Führer, and P. Rentrop, Differential-algebraic equations in vehicle system dynamics, Surv. Math. Ind., 1 (1991), pp. 1–37.
- [50] C. TISCHENDORF, On the stability of solutions of autonomous index-1 tractable and quasilinear index-2 tractable daes, Circuits Systems Signal Process., 13 (1994), pp. 139–154.
- [51] K. Zhou, J. C. Doyle, and K. Glover, *Robust and Optimal Control*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.