

On perturbations in the leading coefficient matrix of time-varying index-1 DAEs

Thomas Berger

Institute of Mathematics, Ilmenau University of Technology

Darmstadt, March 27, 2012

Perturbations in the leading coefficient of DAEs

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad E, A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$$

On perturbations in the leading coefficient matrix of time-varying index-1 DAEs

Index-1 DAEs

Perturbations in the leading coefficient of DAEs

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad E, A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$$

[März 1991]: (E, A) is **index-1** \Leftrightarrow

$\exists Q \in \mathcal{C}^1 : Q(t)^2 = Q(t) \wedge \text{im } Q(t) = \ker E(t)$, and $\exists D \in \mathcal{C}^0$:

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) &= (\dot{P} + PD)Px, \quad P = I - Q \\ Qx &= QDPx \end{cases}$$

Perturbations in the leading coefficient of DAEs

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad E, A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$$

[März 1991]: (E, A) is **index-1** \Leftrightarrow

$\exists Q \in \mathcal{C}^1 : Q(t)^2 = Q(t) \wedge \text{im } Q(t) = \ker E(t)$, and $\exists D \in \mathcal{C}^0$:

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) &= (\dot{P} + PD)Px, \quad P = I - Q \\ Qx &= QDPx \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}_1 &=& x_2 \\ 0 &=& x_1 \end{array} \quad \not\models \quad \begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}_1 &=& D_{11}x_1 \\ x_2 &=& D_{21}x_1 \end{array}$$

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) &= (\dot{P} + PD)Px, \\ Qx &= QDPx \end{cases}$$

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) = (\dot{P} + PD)Px, \\ Qx = QDPx \end{cases}$$

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = Px + Qx = (I + QD)Px, \\ \frac{d}{dt}(Px) = (\dot{P} + PD)Px \end{cases}$$

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) = (\dot{P} + PD)Px, \\ Qx = QDPx \end{cases}$$

$$E\dot{x} = Ax \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = Px + Qx = (I + QD)Px, \\ \frac{d}{dt}(Px) = (\dot{P} + PD)Px \end{cases}$$

$$\dot{y} = (\dot{P} + PD)y, \quad y(t_0) = P(t_0)x(t_0)$$

uniqueness
 $\implies y(t) = P(t)x(t)$

crucial:

$$E = EP$$

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x} &= Ax \\ \Leftrightarrow EP\dot{x} &= Ax \\ \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) &= (\dot{P} + PD)Px, \\ Qx &= QDPx \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

crucial:

$$E = EP$$

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x} &= (A + \Delta_A)x \\ \Leftrightarrow EP\dot{x} &= (A + \Delta_A)x \\ \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) &= (\dot{P} + PD)Px + PG\Delta_Ax, \\ Qx &= QDPx + QG\Delta_Ax \end{cases} \quad \exists G^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

crucial:

$$E = EP$$

$$(E + \Delta_E) \dot{x} = Ax$$

i.g.
 $\not\Rightarrow (E + \Delta_E) P \dot{x} = Ax$

crucial:

$$E = EP$$

$$(E + \Delta_E) \dot{x} = Ax$$

i.g.
 $\not\Rightarrow (E + \Delta_E) P \dot{x} = Ax$

(A): $\Delta_E \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ s.t. $(E + \Delta_E, A)$ is index-1 and

$$\ker E(t) = \ker(E(t) + \Delta_E(t))$$

crucial:

$$E = EP$$

$$(E + \Delta_E) \dot{x} = Ax$$

i.g.
 $\not\Rightarrow (E + \Delta_E) P \dot{x} = Ax$

(A): $\Delta_E \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ s.t. $(E + \Delta_E, A)$ is index-1 and

$$\ker E(t) = \ker(E(t) + \Delta_E(t))$$

$$(E + \Delta_E) \dot{x} = Ax \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(Px) &= (\dot{P} + PD)Px + PG\Delta Px, \\ Qx &= QDPx + QG\Delta Px \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(t) & A_{12}(t) \\ A_{21}(t) & A_{22}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ \Delta(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(t) & A_{12}(t) \\ A_{21}(t) & A_{22}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0 = (A_{21} - \Delta A_{11})(t)x_1 + (A_{22} - \Delta A_{12})(t)x_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ \Delta(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(t) & A_{12}(t) \\ A_{21}(t) & A_{22}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0 = (A_{21} - \Delta A_{11})(t)x_1 + (A_{22} - \Delta A_{12})(t)x_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1(t) = e^{-t}x_1^0$$

$$x_2(t) = 0$$

exp. stable

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{n_1} & 0 \\ \Delta(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(t) & A_{12}(t) \\ A_{21}(t) & A_{22}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0 = (A_{21} - \Delta A_{11})(t)x_1 + (A_{22} - \Delta A_{12})(t)x_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1(t) = e^{-t}x_1^0$$

$$x_2(t) = e^{t/\varepsilon}x_2^0$$

not exp. stable

Bohl exponent and perturbation operator

$$E(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0), \quad P(t_0)(\Phi(t_0, t_0) - I) = 0.$$

(E, A) exp. stab. $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu, M > 0 \ \forall t \geq t_0 : \|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}$

Bohl exponent and perturbation operator

$$E(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0), \quad P(t_0)(\Phi(t_0, t_0) - I) = 0.$$

(E, A) exp. stab. $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu, M > 0 \ \forall t \geq t_0 : \|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}$

$$k_B(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists N_\rho > 0 \ \forall t \geq s : \|\Phi(t, s)\| \leq N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)} \right\}$$

Bohl exponent and perturbation operator

$$E(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0), \quad P(t_0)(\Phi(t_0, t_0) - I) = 0.$$

(E, A) exp. stab. $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu, M > 0 \ \forall t \geq t_0 : \|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}$

$$k_B(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists N_\rho > 0 \ \forall t \geq s : \|\Phi(t, s)\| \leq N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)} \right\}$$

Rem.: $k_B(E, A) < 0 \iff (E, A)$ exp. stable

Bohl exponent and perturbation operator

$$E(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0), \quad P(t_0)(\Phi(t_0, t_0) - I) = 0.$$

(E, A) exp. stab. $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu, M > 0 \ \forall t \geq t_0 : \|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}$

$$k_B(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists N_\rho > 0 \ \forall t \geq s : \|\Phi(t, s)\| \leq N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)} \right\}$$

Rem.: $k_B(E, A) < 0 \iff (E, A)$ exp. stable

$$L_{t_0} : L^2([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n), \quad f(\cdot) \mapsto x(\cdot),$$

x solves $E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t), \quad P(t_0)x(t_0) = 0$

Bohl exponent and perturbation operator

$$E(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0), \quad P(t_0)(\Phi(t_0, t_0) - I) = 0.$$

(E, A) exp. stab. $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu, M > 0 \ \forall t \geq t_0 : \|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}$

$$k_B(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists N_\rho > 0 \ \forall t \geq s : \|\Phi(t, s)\| \leq N_\rho e^{\rho(t-s)} \right\}$$

Rem.: $k_B(E, A) < 0 \iff (E, A)$ exp. stable

$$L_{t_0} : L^2([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n), \quad f(\cdot) \mapsto x(\cdot),$$

x solves $E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t), \quad P(t_0)x(t_0) = 0$

Lemma [Du et al. 2006]: (E, A) exp. stable and **(BC)** hold $\implies L_{t_0}$ is linear bd. operator and $t_0 \mapsto \|L_{t_0}\|$ is mon. nonincreasing

Theorem (Robustness of Bohl exponent)

(E, A) index-1, Q bounded, given $\varepsilon > 0$:

Δ_E satisfies **(A)**, $\|\Delta_E\|_\infty$ suff. small

$$\implies k_B(E + \Delta_E, A) \leq k_B(E, A) + \varepsilon$$

Theorem (Robustness via perturbation operator)

(E, A) index-1 and exp. stable, **(BC)** hold, Δ_E satisfies **(A)**:

$$\exists \kappa_i = \kappa_i(E, A, Q), i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha := \min \left\{ \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{t_0}\|^{-1}, \kappa_3 \right\},$$

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \Delta_E|_{[t_0, \infty)} \right\|_\infty < \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \alpha}$$


$\implies (E + \Delta_E, A)$ is exponentially stable

Stability radius

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ [\Delta_E, \Delta_A] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2n}) \mid \begin{array}{l} (E + \Delta_E, A + \Delta_A) \text{ is index-1,} \\ \ker E(t) = \ker(E(t) + \Delta_E(t)) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ (E, A) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})^2 \mid (E, A) \text{ is exponentially stable} \right\},$$

$$r(E, A) := \inf_{[\Delta_E, \Delta_A] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}} \left\{ \|[\Delta_E, \Delta_A]\|_\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} [\Delta_E, \Delta_A] \notin \mathcal{P} \text{ or} \\ (E + \Delta_E, A + \Delta_A) \notin \mathcal{S} \end{array} \right\}$$



On perturbations in the leading coefficient matrix of time-varying index-1 DAEs

Stability radius

Proposition (Properties of the stability radius)

- $r(E, A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (E, A) \notin \mathcal{S}$
- $r(\alpha(E, A)) = r(\alpha E, \alpha A) = \alpha r(E, A)$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$
- $\mathcal{V}(t)$ time-varying subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with constant dimension,

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} := \left\{ [E, A] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2n}) \mid \begin{array}{l} (E, A) \text{ is index-1,} \\ \ker E(t) = \mathcal{V}(t) \end{array} \right\},$$

$\implies \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} \ni [E, A] \mapsto r(E, A)$ is continuous

Theorem (Lower bound for the stability radius)

(E, A) index-1 and exp. stable, **(BC)** holds

\implies

$$\exists \kappa_i = \kappa_i(E, A, Q), i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha := \min \left\{ \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{t_0}\|^{-1}, \kappa_3 \right\},$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\alpha}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \alpha} \leq r(E, A)}$$

Theorem (Lower bound for the stability radius)

(E, A) index-1 and exp. stable, **(BC)** holds

\implies

$$\exists \kappa_i = \kappa_i(E, A, Q), i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha := \min \left\{ \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{t_0}\|^{-1}, \kappa_3 \right\},$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\alpha}{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \alpha} \leq r(E, A)}$$

Cor.: $\mathcal{V}(t)$ time-varying subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with constant dimension,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}} := \left\{ [E, A] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2n}) \mid \begin{array}{l} (E, A) \text{ is index-1 and exp. stable,} \\ \ker E(t) = \mathcal{V}(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\implies \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is open in $\overline{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}}$